# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

RICHARD ARJUN KAUL, MD; JANE DOE; JOHN DOE.	
Plaintiff	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
v.  JAMES PAUL OETKEN (PERSONAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY) NORTH DAKOTA MEDICAL BOARD;	COMPLAINT
NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE JANE DOE; JOHN DOE.	NO JURY TRAIL DEMANDED
Defendants.	

# K11-28 KAUL v OETKEN

### **CONTENTS**

PARTIES - Page 4

**RELEVANT/REFERENCED CASES OF 'THE KAUL CASES' - Page 5** 

**JURISDICTION + VENUE - Page 6** 

**INTRODUCTORY CONTEXT TO K11-28 – Page 8** 

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT + BASIS FOR SUIT AGAINST DEFENDANTS OETKEN/NDMB/NYS AGC – Page 26

DEFENDANT OETKEN'S CORRUPTION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT + CAUSE FOR HIS IMPEACHMENT – Page 30

**DEPRIVATION OF ANY/ALL IMMUNITIES – Page 33** 

STATEMENT OF FACT + DEFENDANT OETKEN'S RULE 8(b)(6) ADMISSIONS OF FACT – Page 35

**LEGAL CLAIMS - Page 44** 

Violation of Civil Rights: Section 1983 claim

UN Human Rights Violation: The United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights

**DECLARATIONS AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – Page 70** 

### **PARTIES**

### **PLAINTIFF**

RICHARD ARJUN KAUL, MD – 440c SOMERSET DRIVE, PEARL RIVER, NY 10965 DRRICHARDKAUL@GMAIL.COM ("PLAINTIFF KAUL") – 914 250 84313

### DEFENDANT

NORTH DAKOTA MEDICAL BOARD – CITY CENTER PLAZA, 418 EAST BROADWAY AVENUE, SUITE 12, BISMARCK, ND 58501-4086 ("DEFENDANT NDMB")

JAMES PAUL OETKEN, ESQ – ROOM 706, 40 FOLEY SQUARE, NY, NY 10007 ("DEFENDANT OETKEN")

NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE - 50 EAST AVE #404, ROCHESTER, NY 14604 ("DEFENDANT AGC")

### **RELEVANT/REFERENCED CASES OF 'THE KAUL CASES'**

K1: KAUL v CHRISTIE: 16-CV-02364 - CLOSED

K5: KAUL/BASCH v FEDERATION: 19-CV-3050-CLOSED

K11-7: KAUL v. ICE ET AL: 21-CV-6992 - CLOSED

K11-10: KAUL v. ICE ET AL: 23-CV-2016 - CLOSED

K11-14: KAUL v. FEDERATION ET AL: 23-CV-22325 - CLOSED

K11-15: KAUL v. CHRISTIE/MURPHY: 23-CV-22582 - CLOSED

K11-17: KAUL v CPEP: 23-CV-00672 - CLOSED

K11-23: KAUL v OETKEN: 24-CV-00621 - OPEN BUT DEFENDANT OETKEN DISMISSED

K11-27: KAUL v FEDERATION STATE MEDICAL BOARDS: 25-CV-01676 - OPEN

**K11-28**: KAUL v NORTH DAKOTA MEDICAL BOARD – JURISDICTION BASED ON DEFENDANT NDMB DOMICILE AND DEFENDANTS ONGOING PATTERN OF RACKETERING WITHIN THE 'REVOCATION-COVER-UP-CONSPIRACY' AND ONGOING/"NEW" INJURIES CAUSED TO PLAINTIFF KAUL IN NORTH DAKOTA.

### **JURISDICTION + VENUE**

#### General:

1. 28 U.S.C. § 1331 - Plaintiff's allegations arise pursuant to Section 1983 claims of violations of Plaintiff Kaul's Constitutional rights and U.S.C. § 1964(a)(b)(c)(d) and 1962.

U.S.C. § 1337 – Plaintiff's allegations allege violations of an Act of Congress regulating commerce and monopolies.

### Personal:

- 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants Oetken/NDMB/NYS AGC as they had transacted business, maintained substantial contacts through the Federal Judges Association, and/or committed acts in furtherance of the illegal scheme and conspiracy throughout the United States, including in this district.
- 3. Additionally, the Defendants RICO violations/offenses/crimes have caused and continue to cause injury to Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property in every state in the United States including the State of North Dakota.
- 4. Defendants scheme and conspiracy have been directed at and have had the intended effect of causing injury to persons residing in, located in, or doing business throughout the United States including the Southern District of Dakota. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over all Defendants pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(1)(A) because they would be subject to a court of general jurisdiction in Dakota.
- 5. More specifically, Defendant Oetken has violated and continues to violate the principles and holdings set forth by the U.S.C.A for the Fifth Circuit (May 18, 2022) and SCOTUS (June 27, 2024) in SEC v Jarkesy: 22-859

### Venue:

- 6. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) A civil action may be brought in (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located and (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated.
- 7. Defendant Oetken's K11-7 September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' (See hyperlink: <a href="https://www.drrichardkaul.com/">https://www.drrichardkaul.com/</a> files/ugd/7d05d1 1f1f9cebc0134fe5835a33640aa2ed67.pd f) and his K11-20 October 2, 2024, threat to hold Plaintiff Kaul in contempt if by October 16, 2024, Plaintiff Kaul does not dismiss K11-20, AND the K11-20 Defendants filing on October 3, 2024 of Defendant Oetken's October 2, 2024 threatening 'ORDER' (See hyperlink: <a href="https://www.drrichardkaul.com/">https://www.drrichardkaul.com/</a> files/ugd/7d05d1 6328d6d06c8f46d9a7aaec22370b981b.pdf) do constitute a substantial and ongoing injury and violation of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional right to vindicate and or secure his right to his life/liberty/property/reputation <a href="https://wirthliberty/property/reputation">WITHIN</a> the jurisdiction of the U.S.C.A. for the 5th Circuit that confers on Plaintiff Kaul the right to sue Defendants Oetken/NDMB/NYS AGC in this district.

### **INTRODUCTORY CONTEXT TO K11-28**

# FACTS OF THE KAUL CASES DEFENDANTS', INLUDING DEFENDANTS JAMES PAUL OETKEN/NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE, OFFENSES/VIOLATIONS/CRIMES

+

### ORDERS IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF KAUL ISSUED BY DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

- **7-1.** The offenses/violations/crimes of <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants commenced in approximately March 2005, shortly after Plaintiff Kaul invented and successfully performed the first outpatient percutaneous/minimally invasive spinal fusion, a procedure that revolutionized the field of spine surgery, became the standard of care approximately ten (10) years ago and substantially improved good to very good outcomes (prior to 2005-65-70%, post 2005 90-95%) and substantially reduced complication rates (prior to 2005-5-15%, post 2005-0.1%). This procedure immensely benefitted millions of patients who required surgical intervention for unremitting and disabling spinal degeneration related back/leg pain.
- 7-2. Plaintiff Kaul's invention brought him professional success, a success however that caused professional jealousy amongst his competitors in the minimally invasive spine market (neurosurgeons/interventional pain physicians/orthopedic spine surgeons/insurance companies/hospital corporations), a jealousy and illegal market antitrust protectionism that caused these entities to commit and continue to commit against Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation the offenses/violations/crimes of amongst other things judicial bribery/public corruption/evidential tampering/witness tampering/perjury/obstruction of justice/false indictment/false arrest/false imprisonment and attempted killing. This "pattern of racketeering" and violations of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights commenced in 2005 and are ongoing with new injuries in 2025.
- **7-3.** In the period from February 22, 2016 to the present, the Defendants have with impunity violated multiple district court orders as to discovery/trial, an impunity derived from their bribing/intimidation/harassment of certain district judges, the most public being that of

Defendant Oetken. And as a consequence of **The Kaul Cases** Defendants violation of the authority/jurisdiction of the district courts, they have thus far been able to illegally escape/evade the process of justice and more specifically the truth exposing effect of discovery/trial. In the over nine (9) years of the prosecution of **The Kaul Cases** by Plaintiff Kaul, The Kaul Cases Defendants have not provided one piece of court ordered discovery, but have employed schemes of requests for time extension/stays/dismissals/bribing-harassmentintimidation of certain district judges, all purposed to further their escaping/evasion of prosecutions they know will further prove their guilt of the charges levied in **The Kaul Cases**.

7-4. Plaintiff Kaul respectfully asserts that the substantiation/proof of the above facts and patterns of offenses/violations/crimes is found in the documentation of The Kaul Cases, that are chronologically organized as below:

FACTS OF THE KAUL CASES DEFENDANTS', INLUDING DEFENDANTS JAMES PAUL OETKEN/NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE, OFFENSES/VIOLATIONS/CRIMES + ORDERS IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF KAUL ISSUED BY DISTRICT **COURT JUDGES.** 

### DECEMBER 16, 2020 – K5 – ORDER FOR SCHEDULING CONFERENCE:

7-5. The K5 Defendants, who are Defendant Oetken's co-conspirators, violated the order, failed to appear for the January 26, 2021 Rule 16 conference and their Rule 8(b)(6) failure to deny the facts/allegations did cause them to become admitted. The admittance of these facts in conjunction with the K5/K11-7 Defendants bribery of Defendant Oetken did/do render null and void his September 12, 2022 purported 'dismissal opinion-injunction'. The law does not permit the issuance of nationwide injunctions nor dismissing of jurisdictionally sound cases when the facts substantiating the claims have been admitted.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 a1f8250142fc44cabc823680f07187e8.pdf JULY 7, 2021 – K5 – RULE 41(a)(1)(A)(B) DISMISSAL OF K5:

7-6. From the October 1, 2019 filing of K5 in the District of Columbia to the December 16, 2020 transfer to the District of New Jersey through to the July 7, 2021 Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(B) voluntary

dismissal of K5, there was ordered no discovery schedule and thus there was no discovery. A case that sat on the federal docket for twenty-two (22) months without any discovery order or discovery being conducted.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 52e5abfbc6804e028daca83a51008127.pdf

SEPTEMBER 12, 2022 – K11-7 – OPINION AND ORDER OF DEFENDANT OETKEN IN K11-7:

- 7-7. Defendant Oetken did enter a bribery related quid pro quo scheme dismissal with prejudice and nationwide injunction, in which he failed to address any of Plaintiff Kaul's arguments and differentiation of every case cited by the K11-7 Defendants, a differentiation that they too failed to conduct. The purported order/opinion/'injunction' were evidently and admittedly a product of crime, that were a highly prejudicial order/opinion/'injunction' that had absolutely no factual/legal relation to the facts/law/arguments submitted, and were 'soaked' in a particularly malicious form of slander/defamation/derogatoriness. The tone was unbecoming of a federal judge, lacked any sense of professionalism and moreover was a reflection of the likely millions of dollars in bribes demanded/received by Defendant Oetken.
- **7-8.** One of the principal factors accounting for the admitted offenses/violations/crimes of Defendant Oetken and his K11-27 co-conspirators and their attempt to have Plaintiff Kaul eliminated on June 17, 2025 pertains to the pending SCOTUS Trump related cases opinion as to the illegality of district judge issued nationwide injunctions. Defendant Oetken and his co-conspirators realize that a ruling for President Trump will nullify Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' leaving the K11-27 Defendants defenseless and subject to Summary Judgment and discovery. A discovery that will cause a further emergence of evidence of their twenty (20) years-worth of offenses/violations/crimes.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 1f1f9cebc0134fe5835a33640aa2ed67.pdf SEPTEMBER 14, 2022 – K11-7 – REQUEST FROM PLAINTIFFS KAUL/BASCH TO DEFENDANT OETKEN TO DISCLOSE HIS FINANCIAL HOLDINGS AND EXPARTE COMMUNICATIONS:

**7-9.** The obvious illegality of Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022 purported 'opinion/order/injunction' caused Plaintiff Kaul to request Defendant Oetken's financial

holdings and exparte communications. To date, in fact for the last thirty-four (34) months

Defendant Oetken has provided none of the requested information and thus for this reason
alone, he remains conflicted and without authority or jurisdiction to conduct any proceeding
pertaining to Plaintiff Kaul for any reason. And the reason that he was forced to schedule the
June17, 2025 purported 'contempt' proceeding was that no other judge in the S.D.N.Y. would
adjudicate the knowingly illegal matter and Defendant Oetken was placed 'under the gun' by
the K11-27 Defendants, who threatened him with exposure of career-ending
personal/professional facts and or further bribed him into conducting a knowingly illegal
proceeding. Defendant Oetken entered the order on May 8, 2025 while still a defendant in K1123.

7-10. And evidencing the extent of the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy' (2005-2025), he was dismissed from K11-23 at approximately 6 pm EST on June 12, 2025, six (6) hours after Plaintiff Kaul filed a series of highly incriminating documents in the S.D.N.Y., documents that have yet to be published to the court docket. Defendant Oetken and his co-conspirators docket document diversion scheme is purposed to attempt to restrict the publishing to the docket of highly incriminating material that would be reviewed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, should Defendant Oetken enter a purported 'contempt' order. It is a sad thing to know that the criminals in the "Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy" include a federal judge and an ex-NJ governor, the very people that are empowered and duty bound to protect citizens' human/civil/constitutional rights. People that abuse the power of public office in the same way insurance companies steal money from the people. Both conduct their crimes under a disguise rendering cloak of authority/respectability. It is racketeering in its purest sense, the commandeering of state power for the commission of crime against the very people they are sworn to protect. It is in many ways no different to the abusing of children by their parents. Heinous, vile and deserving of the severest punishment.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 5798b127342645fbaf0b5a252ef80c78.pdf

### OCTOBER 6, 2022 - K11-7 - MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF DEFENDANT OF DETKEN:

**7-11.** Plaintiffs Kaul/Basch in confirming Defendant Oetken's guilt of using the power of the federal bench to perpetrate an admitted bribery related quid pro quo scheme did file a motion for Defendant Oetken's disqualification. Set forth within the motion were facts underpinning all elements of his crimes. Defendant Oetken did not address this motion until August 14, 2023, and as evident from the order, as seen below, he failed to deny any of the facts of his crimes, a denial that caused their admission. The reason for Defendant Oetken's belated denial pertained to the fact that the district judge in K11-14, under duress/harassment, would not dismiss K11-14 unless he addressed the disqualification motion, and thus he was forced to do so, but did so in a manner that caused the admittance of the facts of his crimes and those of the K11-7/K11-27 Defendants.

 $\frac{\text{https://www.drrichardkaul.com/}}{\text{files/ugd/7d05d1}} \ \text{a57bd0e2b2b74fc18b911558595d0bbe.pd} \\ \frac{\text{f}}{\text{f}}$ 

### <u>AUGUST 18, 2023 – K11-14 – THE K11-14 DEFENDANTS JOINT MOTION TO VACATE AND STAY</u> <u>CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES:</u>

**7-12.** Defendant Oetken's criminally procured K11-7 'injunction' began its violations of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights outside the jurisdiction of the S.D.N.Y. in K11-14 as the basis for the K11-14 Defendants' motions to stay any discovery and or dismiss the case, so terrified were they that Plaintiff Kaul's discovery would expose highly incriminating evidence of their offenses/violations/crimes not just against Plaintiff Kaul but many other physicians victimized by the insurance/hospital industries. And for Defendant Oetken his eleven (11) year history of having abused the power of the federal bench in the perpetration of his bribery related quid pro quo schemes.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 c6ad7276234d4ee4b7c06817f01a16c7.pdf

AUGUST 21, 2023 – K11-14 – LETTER FROM PLAINTIFF KAUL TO U.S.M.J. OTAZO-REYES REGARDING A REQUEST FIOR A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE:

7-13. Plaintiff Kaul sought the court's interjection in the K11-14 Defendants failure to comply with the July 6, 2023 (K11-14: D.E. 7) 'ORDER REQUIRING SCHEDULING REPORT AND CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES' that was ordered to be submitted on August 24, 2023. The K11-14 Defendants, aware of the illegality of the purported 'injunction', did refuse to confer with Plaintiff Kaul/Basch in the drafting of a scheduling report. The refusal constituted further evidence of the ongoing "pattern of racketeering" within the United States District Court and their ongoing violation of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights, in order to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from further exposing their indictable offenses/violations/crimes.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 d2adaf461dde4a2296864fb5250fb195.pdf AUGUST 21, 2023 - K11-14 - LETTER FROM PLAINTIFF KAUL TO U.S.D.J. BETH BLOOM REGARDING THE K11-14 DEFENDANT'S CORRUPTION OF THE COURT:

7-14. The K11-14 Defendants, as has been one of their discovery/trial tactics since 2016 (K1), filed motions to stay the district court's July 6, 2023 (K11-14: D.E. 7) 'ORDER REQUIRING SCHEDULING REPORT AND CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES' based on Defendant Oetken's illegal and purported nationwide 'injunction', and on August 18, 2023, U.S.D.J. Bloom stayed her prior scheduling order. In response Plaintiff Kaul submitted a letter regarding the K11-14 Defendants long history of corrupting/harassing district court judges into improperly dismissing the cases. Cases in which a substantial part of the factual foundation had been admitted by **The** Kaul Cases Defendants admissions of fact pursuant to their Rule 8(b)(6) failure to deny any of the allegations/facts in any of the prior cases. Defendant Oetken's purported 'injunction', although illegal for the above stated reasons (bribery/'Fraud on the Court') could not and did not nullify the prior Rule 8(b)(6) admissions and thus could not prohibit Plaintiff Kaul's utilization of these admitted facts in future cases. This point of fact-law on its own does render illegal Defendant Oetken's proposed 'purported 'contempt' hearing on June 17, 2025 in which he has been forced to adjudicate the matter because no other district judge will adopt the case.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 43a465ef47ba49bd8d25e836e637990b.pd f

<u>AUGUST 23, 2023 – K11-14 – D.E. 38 - DEFENDANT DANIEL STOLZ'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW:</u>

7-15. K11-14 Defendant Stolz's motion was based on the illegal September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' of Defendant Oetken and failed, quite expectedly, to submit that The Kaul Cases Defendant Stolz had admitted to the allegations/facts of every prior case in which he had been sued, consequent to his Rule 8(b)(6) failure to deny any of the allegations/facts in any of the prior cases. It was imperative for Defendant Stolz, as with all of The Kaul Cases Defendants, to ensure the perpetration of the illegal 'injunction' in order to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from exposing his crimes, the crimes of Defendant Oetken and those of The Kaul Cases Defendants. However, on October 25, 2024 in K11-20, Defendant Stolz did officially commit to the record his guilt of the allegations/facts levied in all of the prior cases (K11-20: D.E. 16), and his admittance of the nullification of Defendant Oetken's purported 'injunction' (K11-20: D.E. 16 Page 19 0f 21)

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 30a4503be11a4f2bb5deb6ea6eaf502a.pdf

AUGUST 23, 2023 – K11-14 – ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS

7-16. The case was dismissed based entirely on Defendant Oetken's illegally procured purported 'injunction', an injunction that was prohibited based on the fact that the majority of the underlying facts had been admitted by the K11-7 Defendants consequent to their failure/refusal to deny any of the allegations/facts set forth in the prior cases of <a href="The Kaul Cases">The Kaul Cases</a>. In not one of these cases had the Defendants complied with discovery/trial orders, and in not one of the cases had Plaintiff Kaul's rights been vindicated through discovery and or trial. That lack of vindication and violation of his life/liberty/property/reputation and human/civil/constitutional rights has continued since April 2, 2012 (date of NJ license suspension) and continues to be increasingly perpetrated in June 2025 by Defendant Oetken's proposed June 17, 2025 'contempt' hearing. A hearing purposed to attempt to conceal his and <a href="The Kaul Cases">The Kaul Cases</a> Defendants indictable offenses/violations/crimes. There exists an ongoing and knowing thirteen-year-plus long injustice in which every fundamental human right of Plaintiff Kaul has been and continues to be violated such that it constitutes a crime against humanity.

The K11-14 Defendants corruption, harassment and or intimidation of U.S.D.J. Bloom were consistent with every prior act of discovery/trial evasion, as they were with Defendant Oetken.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 0b00c6e13bc0435f92799134b8091be5.pdf

AUGUST 23, 2023 – K11-14 – D.E. 40 – LETTER FROM PLAINTIFFS KAUL/BASCH TO U.S.D.J. BETH BLOOM:

**7-17.** Defendant Oetken's 'Fraud on the Court' of the purported 'injunction' was further perpetrated through the federal docket of the United States District Court by U.S.D.J. Beth Bloom's August 23, 2023 order of dismissal, and did pursuant to the doctrine cause the inculcation of liability and incorporation into the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy'. This is an almost perfect example of the sageness of the Supreme Court of the United States opinion in Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944), in which the Court stated:

"Every element of the fraud here disclosed demands the exercise of the historic power of equity to set aside fraudulently begotten judgments. This is not simply a case of a judgment obtained with the aid of a witness who, on the basis of after-discovered evidence, is believed possibly to have been guilty of perjury. Here, even if we consider nothing but <a href="Hartford's sworn admissions">Hartford's sworn admissions</a>, we find a deliberately planned and carefully executed scheme to defraud not only the Patent Office, but the Circuit Court of Appeals."

**7-18.** The 'nobody is above the law' aphorism applies to every person in the United States, regardless of office and economic/political affiliations. 1944 was the last but one year of the 2<sup>nd</sup> WW and America was involved in a war to suppress the lawlessness of fascism and its then culture prefaced the noble ideals of the U.N.C.H.R. The misconduct of an individual such as Defendant Oetken would absolutely not have been tolerated at that time, and unfortunately for him the <a href="Hazel-Atlas">Hazel-Atlas</a> case law remains unassailed in 2025 and as equally if not more applicable to him, a public servant, than to the original <a href="Hazel-Atlas">Hazel-Atlas</a> defendant. U.S.D.J. Bloom knew this fact and the fact that she should have "set aside the fraudulently begotten judgment", but she did not, thus perpetuating the offenses/violations/crimes of the

'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy'. Had U.S.D.J. Bloom taken such action, it is likely The Kaul Cases would have concluded as there would have been no need for Plaintiff Kaul to continue their prosecution.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 3a2cd7b8912040b8bcbf33bff48e2192.pdf

### NOVEMBER 20, 2023 - K11-17 - D.E. 1 - COMPLAINT IN K11-17:

7-19. Plaintiff Kaul, as he has done throughout <u>The Kaul Cases</u> subsequent to Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction', did within the initiating Complaints inform the district courts of the purported 'injunction', as he did in K11-17 (D.E. 1 Page 17 to 25 of 132). Thus, the Chief Judge in K11-17 knew at case commencement of the purported 'injunction' and with this knowledge did cause the issuance of summonses and the March 13, 2024 entry of an 'ORDER FOR DISCOVERY PLAN'. However, the entry of this order caused the K11-17/K11-27 Defendants and Defendant Oetken to further perpetuate the illegal September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' within the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy' (2005-2025) and through the United States District Court. The Chief Judge in K11-17 knew of his obligation under Hazel-Atlas to "set aside" the fraudulent September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' of Defendant Oetken, but he did not.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 cd6056cc431b4d5dbb155dbf41bff10a.pdf

DECEMBER 4, 2023 – K11-15 – D.E. 50-4 – ADMISSIONS OF FACT OF K11-15 DEFENDANT
KENNETH MURPHY:

**7-20.** Defendant Kenneth Murphy, a Morristown, NJ policeman, was caused to become incorporated into the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy' on June 14, 2023 with his Defendant Christie ordered illegal arrest and imprisonment of Plaintiff Kaul. Defendant Murphy knew this fact. Plaintiff Kaul filed suit against Defendant Murphy on June 27, 2023 in the District of New Jersey. On July 7, 2023 Plaintiff Kaul judicially noticed U.S.D.J. Bloom of the Complaint and on July 31, 2023 she sua ponte placed the case on her docket, issued summonses on July 31, 2023 and an 'ORDER REQUIRING SCHEDULING REPORT AND CERTIFICATIONS OF INTERESTED

PARTIES' on August 1, 2023 (K11-15: D.E. 7). However, and consequent to the perpetuation of the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy', U.S.D.J. Bloom did after issuing a 'ORDER SETTING TRIAL AND PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULE REQUIRING MEDIATION, AND REFERRING CERTAIN MATTERS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE' (K11-15: D.E. 56) on February 2, 2024, did on February 16, 2025 dismiss the case based in large part of Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction'. Thus, further causing a perpetuation of the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy' and illegal violation of Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation and human/civil/constitutional rights (April 2, 2012-NJ license suspension-February 16, 2024: 4,337 days).

7-21. The average prison sentence in New Jersey for armed robbery is ten (10) years, but a prisoner has a free place to sleep, to eat, to receive medical care and to know the sentence will be concluded. However, on June 15, 2025 (4,822 days), Plaintiff Kaul, a successful surgeon who in 2005 invented a humanity helping spinal procedure remains indefinitely imprisoned consequent to the corruption of the political-legal system by <a href="The Kaul Cases">The Kaul Cases</a> Defendants. The message appears to be that in medicine if you are an immigrant and through hard work, commitment, discipline and innovation become more successful than the locals, you will be targeted. A country's strength becomes stymied when such suppression is permitted to occur. Defendant Oetken, a democratically appointed judge had an opportunity to contribute towards making "... American Great Again" but he, as with <a href="The Kaul Cases">The Kaul Cases</a> Defendants chose, consequent to their unbridled greed/selfishness/sense of privilege to not do that but instead to have, amongst other offenses/violations/crimes, Plaintiff Kaul kidnapped and wrongly jailed.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 87d94d180def4460a09fd7c1fd73072f.pdf

FEBRUARY 2, 2024 – K11-15 – D.E. 56 – ORDER SETTING TRIAL AND PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULE REQUIRING MEDIATION, AND REFERRING CERTAIN MATTERS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE':

**7-22.** None of the K11-15 Defendants complied with the order and refused to confer with Plaintiff Kaul, the reason being their fear of having their nineteen years-worth of offenses/violations/crimes and those of Defendant Oetken and rest of <u>The Kaul Cases</u>

Defendants exposed by Plaintiff Kaul. Such exposure would and will result in both civil/criminal consequences for <a href="The Kaul Cases">The Kaul Cases</a> Defendants, which is why Defendant Oetken is now abusing the power of the federal bench to attempt to have Plaintiff Kaul illegally eliminated (jail/death). This is the definition of abuse of power, but a course of conduct in which Defendant Oetken has perpetrated by abusing the power of the federal bench to satisfy his seemingly insatiable greed and self-aggrandizement. Defendant Oetken has obviously forgotten he is a public servant whose salary was paid in part from the millions of tax dollars paid by Plaintiff Kaul throughout his career. Plaintiff Kaul paid his taxes with the understanding that they would not be used to facilitate the careers of professional criminals like Defendant Oetken and K11-27 Defendants Christie-ex NJ governor/Solomon- ex NJ administrative judge. That K11-27 Defendant Christie was an ex-US Attorney for NJ does render even more criminal his offenses/violations/crimes within the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy'.

**7-23.** The June 14, 2025 seven and a half years jailing of former Illinois speaker/state legislative leader, Michael Madigan, by Judge John Robert Blakey of the Northern District of Illinois, the son of Professor Robert Blakey, the author of the RICO statute, on conviction of racketeering, bribery/conspiracy/fraud/political corruption, evidences a long-overdue 'turning of the tide' against public corruption. Illinois was the district in which ex-Illinois governor, Rod R. Blagojevich was sentenced to fourteen (14) years in prison. The Madigan case was brought by the Department of Justice, the same entity that represented Defendant Oetken in K11-23. The DOJ should be prosecuting criminals, even if they are judges (See Hannah Dugan), and most certainly not defending them.

### https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=svFXvKU-4yk

**7-24.** Judge Reeves, pursuant to his obligations under section 1986 of the <u>Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871</u>, ought to have referred Defendant Oetken to the DOJ, instead of dismissing him on June 12, 2025, in an opinion that effectively categorized bribery as a normal judicial act within the normal judicial capacity of a district judge. It is quite the opinion in light of his prior opinions where he denied qualified immunity to 'state actor' defendants for the commission of crimes

and constitutional violations. A category in which Defendant Oetken exists, as absolute immunity confers no protection on his offenses/violation/crimes as admitted in K11-23.

 $\frac{\text{https://www.drrichardkaul.com/}}{\text{files/ugd/7d05d1}} \frac{71\text{c677bd2e944d57bc56e95bb96c9139.pd}}{\text{f}}$ 

### FEBRUARY 16, 2024 - K11-15 - D.E. 58 - ORDER ON DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMSS:

7-25. U.S.D.J. Bloom's dismissal opinion was based in large part on Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' even though Defendant Murphy was not part of the K11-7 case. What this extension of the applicability of the 'injunction' proved was that Defendant Oetken in collusion/conspiracy with The Kaul Cases Defendants would use the 'injunction' to attempt to bar Plaintiff Kaul from ever filing any case in the United States District Court against any of The Kaul Cases Defendants to remediate the offenses/violations/crimes committed and being committed against his life/liberty/property and human/civil/constitutional rights. Defendant Oetken's 'injunction' was an attempt to impose an effective death sentence on Plaintiff Kaul for having the temerity to invent a procedure that helped humanity. There exists a psycho-pathology so extreme in this scheme that it fits the DSM classification of Antisocial Personality Disorder (ASPD). This condition involves a pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others. This pattern can manifest as aggression, deceitfulness, impulsivity, and a lack of remorse, all of which might be connected to behaviors perceived as cruel.

**7-26.** Had U.S.D.J. Bloom not dismissed K11-15, there would have been substantial progress towards the conclusion of <u>The Kaul Cases</u>, but she did and so in conjunction with <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants and Defendant Oetken U.S.D.J. Bloom did contribute towards their perpetuation into K11-27 and K11-28.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 a8f8bda2f8a24af8b539909152d86dbb.pdf

FEBRUARY 20, 2024 – K11-15 – D.E. 59 – LETTER FROM PLAINTIFF KAUL TO U.S.D.J. BLOOM:

7-27. Plaintiff Kaul submitted a letter seeking to know what person and what threats/promises had been made by <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants to U.S.D.J. Bloom in the interim period between February 2 and 16, 2024, that caused her to schedule the case for trial/mediation to then dismissing it with prejudice. U.S.D.J. Bloom published no response to the docket. Accountability by certain public servant district judges appears to be absent, but these same individuals demand accountability in non-public servant private persons they are about to sentence to jail. The scourge of corruption has eroded the once immense edifice of trust the public had in the organs of state, an erosion for which Defendant Oetken has no hesitation in furthering. It cannot be the case that the judiciary, an arm of the law, cannot police its members to the same extent it polices the public.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 72cf84dc6de24d659f857a615a55465e.pdf

### MARCH 13, 2024 - K11-17 - D.E. 65 - ORDER FOR DISCOVERY PLAN:

**7-28.** Chief Judge Myers, after having reviewed the sixty-four (64) prior docket entries including the Complaint, motions to dismiss/stay/admissions of fact and Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' did on March 13, 2024 enter an 'ORDER FOR DISCOVERY SCHEDULE'.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 5252b4bcfa4a4d5eb05d422034457549.pdf

### MARCH 15, 2024 – K11-7 – D.E. 173 – ORDER:

7-29. The K11-17 Defendants, who were the K11-7/K11-14 Defendants, did then conspire with Defendant Oetken to attempt to cause Plaintiff Kaul to dismiss K11-17 under threat of contempt, despite knowing that Chief Judge Myers under the independent authority/jurisdiction of his court and in full knowledge of the purported 'injunction' did admit the case and enter an 'ORDER FOR DISCOVERY SCHEDULE'. Defendant Oetken's violation of the authority/jurisdiction of other independent district courts not even in his circuit, was further evidence of his and <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jnrisdiction-content/">The Kaul Cases</a> Defendants ongoing scheme to attempt to prevent Plaintiff

Kaul from further exposing his offenses/violations/crimes perpetrated against Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation. The principal purpose of any system of justice, wherever it may be in the world and whenever it may have existed, is to find the truth. Defendant Oetken purports to be a district judge in the United States District Court, but that disguise conceals the truth of his criminal "patterns of racketeering", where permitting the truth to be found does undermine his self-enriching commission of quid pro quo schemes. For first of all why would he not have entered a discovery order in K11-7 and then more probative of his guilt is the fact that he obstructed Plaintiff Kaul's due process rights in other independent district courts that admitted The Kaul Cases in full knowledge of the purported 'injunction'. These are the acts of a 'state actor' criminal. These facts are consistent with the fact he entered the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy' in or around August 19, 2021 (filing date of K11-7) and it then became a matter of life or death for his judicial career to attempt to have Plaintiff Kaul eliminated (jail/death). There can be no doubt that he received millions of dollars in bribes (off-shore money/shares/Manhattan rent) to cause him to gamble with his judicial career and all the lifetime benefits it ensures. This speaks to the impulsivity and lack of remorse of the above referenced Antisocial- Personality-Disorder (ASPD).

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 94966611d7f746c89d2ba85b5e82cea5.pdf

MARCH 28, 2024 – K11-17 – D.E. 79 – DEFENDANT ROBERT F. HEARY, M.D.'S MOTION TO STAY DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, PENDING RULING ON THE MOTION TO DISMISS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO STRIKE FOR FAILURE TO FILE A PROPER STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS:

**7-30.** Defendant Heary, one of the principal originators of the 'Revocation-Cover-Up-Conspiracy', an individual who concealed The Kaul Cases litigation from the American Association of Neurological Surgeons in his application to become its 2019 vice-president and who had previously perpetrated such schemes of public corruption against his other market competitors, did seek to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from conducting discovery upon his person, his businesses and his co-conspirators. Defendant Heary's motions were based on Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction' in K11-7. Defendant Heary is the person who encouraged Plaintiff Kaul's patients to file complaints with The Kaul Cases Defendant, New

Jersey Board of Medical Examiners and who conspired with other physicians/politicians/media and continues to conspire to destroy Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation, by evading discovery and causing every state medical board to deny Plaintiff Kaul's application for licensure. And all because Plaintiff Kaul invented in 2005 a procedure that has helped and continue to help millions of pain ridden/disabled patients, a procedure, the professional success of which Defendant Heary perceived as a threat to his insatiable greed. Defendant Heary, while working as the Chief of Neurosurgery at the state-run University Hospital in Newark, NJ did receive a salary of \$3.1 million in addition to the \$6-7 million he made from his private practice. Defendant Heary was dismissed from that position at some time after 2016 consequent to his being sued in K1 (K1 filed February 22, 2016) and now has a 100% private practice, charging \$1 million for one surgery, and performing at least two hundred and fifty (250) surgeries a year. Discovery would establish the exact amounts and what percentage of that stemmed/stems from his long history of racketeering. Defendant Heary, as with Defendant Oetken are purported professionals whose gangsterism is concealed from the public by their deceptive cloaks of judicial and medical authority.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 054a49bcafe3476abdc32aadbd49cf7c.pdf

APRIL 1, 2024 - K11-17 - D.E. 82 - ORDER TO JUNE 3, 2025 - K11-7 - D.E. 193 - NOTICE AS TO PETITION TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK:

7-31. The below hyperlinks connect to documents from The Kaul Cases that constitute further proof of the offenses/violations/crimes committed by The Kaul Cases Defendants, including Defendant Oetken, in the period from April 1, 2024 to June 3, 2025. They also evidence the schemes of judicial harassment/intimidation, violations of court orders and evasion of discovery and or trial. And they evidence the K11-17/K11-19/K11-20/K11-24/K11-27 Defendants sole reliance on Defendant Oetken's purported 'injunction' to prevent Plaintiff Kaul for exacting discovery/trial upon them.

7-32. There is however a Rule 16 conference scheduled for July 9, 2025 in K11-27, about which the K11-27 Defendants have refused and continue to refuse to confer with Plaintiff Kaul, in the belief that on June 17, 2025 Defendant Oetken will incarcerate his person, albeit an illegal scheme. Defendant Oetken's June 12, 2025 dismissal from K11-23 was purposed to address his conflict of interest, but his bias/impartiality had been conclusively proven in his K11-23 January 6, 2025 admissions of the facts of amongst other things, bribery and public corruption as to the illegal 'inunction'. The fact admission documents of fifteen (15) of <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants are included in this section.

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 401c8eb1dd654b9fa3ae5ae7252ac2e7.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 88ccd862896544e4a0afd0c2ae22ec7e.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1\_ded7614c791e43e996c48852e848b3dd.pd https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 d243b35ef36d4267a93314516fd48488.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 52b993378d4a4bcea95ba6057c94d392.pd https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 b458c4f06cb045358cd8e58aef95c7ec.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 8ac28658f5b442dfa6551da130af8451.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 76d373b8611e4059aa024f889208ed3f.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 e4cb1ac7e6704287a24b24fc88e69bf8.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 d617e29273ac4f8091a0dc8b6fb5755c.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 9cb022217342459fa603d8259417368b.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 9e7e4b89c9314acf8b4e28edec7a12e8.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 8597babcd95e4d63a40dd54e2667ece4.pd https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 a6e899f4a9e54ef89bef9d1a46d2d304.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 04ccf0545981445bb20f56fad8bb19a1.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 34bf4f58d4dd4d7b97ab395f7ad98c5a.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 fea3ba8fda58499f8f592bfc31d86592.pdf

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 87336a1676cf4f4099db5e806744327b.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 0913955f4b8f4e94b41d51c85cf6fdb8.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 d8aa6d67fc5d4eb5991441df410c3c31.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1\_6db5dcca58d3418d925653d3d0090382.pd https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1\_859705e268784e2cab69eed14c028b07.pd https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 b7fa259366664d46ae7308f61de7cc56.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 88869b5155d34b468056cf204cc0dc62.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 474ecf356fb9449f87a93e4f0e0cd46f.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 051b2f52b9834626b6c493d3aa9d50f4.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 3d09f35d3fd5448c9551466f7da94e41.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 629a8061f69e4da08bf5c17e2677a40c.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 f9e45bd12c994c78ae8b0368152e7895.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 6328d6d06c8f46d9a7aaec22370b981b.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 c28415f908b6406a931c27542ce9b0ce.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 5150b4bba2dd47069585eb726f8d9349.pd https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 cfe08706205044d996f97238b26a4e5a.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 347a0a3e3404467a9792a097bcdb8ed2.pd https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 f6754e0983d64bf681de3afa699e061e.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 68121be3f6d84acdabaa5b6a549d0e90.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 5198c1d56f48437682e9f817b09f9b7d.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 4812c829c3a24de58c913cc366729f72.pdf https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 69efede796334021873215025d9af417.pdf

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 2e88ae9810794276b398d5bcb88a438a.pd f
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 ae826a18c21f495a971d2ece8768ed65.pdf
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 e1e08e69bd6e43e588650fde93fd53c2.pdf
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 fff322add2f74d6e8c867527389ecc21.pdf
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 f5b88d6cb8594c8b9b4e10552af1548f.pdf
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 aace51ee2886469f8677d7606326722d.pdf
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 e645c6975a084e3384be3f208063a99f.pdf
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 eb1be22cfd5146debdb1dc5a5e41f637.pdf
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 2877ee212b0245edb42598d292055a22.pd f
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 a26b9444113d455dbb2d6ee416f842be.pd f
https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 dc0d90aa8cb7441db1198c2b215549c6.pdf

# PRELIMINARY STATEMENT + BASIS FOR SUIT AGAINST DEFENDANTS OETKEN/NDMB/NYS AGC

- **8.** In 2005, Plaintiff Kaul invented and successfully performed the first outpatient minimally invasive spinal fusion, a case/technique that revolutionized the field of spine surgery and has been for many years the standard of care.
- **9.** This event and the consequent success caused Plaintiff Kaul's physician/hospitals/insurance competitors in the minimally invasive spine surgery market to view him, his outpatient surgical center, his technique, and his technique invention as a threat to their market share, and not being able to compete fairly/legally, did resort to committing judicial corruption/political corruption/public corruption/
  bribery/perjury/evidential falsification/witness tampering/obstruction of justice/kickbacks/wire fraud/mail fraud/false indictments/false arrests/false imprisonment/kidnapping/attempted
- 10. These events occurred over a time period from 2005 to 2023, in conjunction with ongoing/accruing and daily recurring and "new" violations of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights. In causing the 2012/2014 illegal suspension/revocation of Plaintiff Kaul's NJ license, The Kaul Cases Defendants committed a theft of Plaintiff Kaul's intellectual property, from which have been generated/continue to be generated hundreds of millions, if not billions of dollars.

drugging-killing.

- **11.** The above facts, in conjunction with those contained within the factual corpus of **The Kaul Cases** substantiate ongoing violations to a criminal standard of Plaintiff Kaul's fundamental right to life/liberty/property, his right to his hard-earned reputation and specifically, violations of his human/civil/constitutional rights.
- **12.** The District of North Dakota has jurisdiction over all Defendants for reasons more fully enunciated below, but in summary, all Defendants, including **The Kaul Cases** Defendants have

violated, caused to violate and or aided and abetted the ongoing violation of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights in every state in the United States including the State of Dakota.

- 13. The factual foundation of K11-23 consists of fact admitted in The Kaul Cases (2016-2024) pursuant to Rule 8(b)(6) and includes amongst other things, bribery/perjury/kidnapping/false indictment/false arrest/false imprisonment/wire fraud/public corruption/judicial corruption/witness tampering/evidence tampering/obstruction of justice.
- 14. On September 12, 2022, in K11-7 Defendant Oetken, after having entered into an admitted bribery related quid pro quo scheme (See hyperlinks:

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/\_files/ugd/7d05d1\_5798b127342645fbaf0b5a252ef80c78.p <u>df</u>

### AND

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/\_files/ugd/7d05d1\_a57bd0e2b2b74fc18b911558595d0bbe. pdf) with the K11-7 Defendants, did enter a purported nationwide 'injunction' that sought to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from seeking redress for the illegal 2014 revocation of his NJ medical license, more facts of which are detailed below.

15. From February 22, 2016 (K1) to the present (K11-20), Plaintiff Kaul's efforts to prosecute his claims have been obstructed by the Defendants violation of discovery orders and schemes of judicial corruption in courts located principally in the NY/NJ area.

Defendant Oetken sits on the bench in the S.D.N.Y,

16. However, from June 2023 judges in the Southern District of Florida, the Eastern District of North Carolina and now the Southern District of Texas did progressively advance **The Kaul** <u>Cases</u> in full knowledge of all the circumstances/events/facts surrounding Defendant Oetken's knowingly illegal September 12, 2022, purported 'injunction'.

- 17. In fact, and after the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit/SCOTUS rulings in <u>Jarkesy</u>, U.S.D.J. Alfred H. Bennet did on August 30, 2024, enter a Rule 26 order which Defendants have violated and continue to violate.
- 18. In furtherance of their obstruction of justice scheme they once again coopted Defendant Oetken into issuing a purported 'order; that threatened to hold Plaintiff Kaul in contempt of he did not dismiss K11-20 by October 16, 2024.
- 19. Defendant Oetken had been complicit in an identical and prior scheme in K11-17, when in collusion/conspiracy with the K11-17 Defendants, who then became the K11-20 Defendants, he issued a similar edict on March 15, 2024 (See hyperlink:
- https://www.drrichardkaul.com/\_files/ugd/7d05d1 94966611d7f746c89d2ba85b5e82cea5.p df) AND (Exhibit 6) two (2) days after Chief Judge Richard E. Meyers entered a Rule 26 order of discovery.
- 20. Defendant Oetken's misconduct is unprecedented in American jurisprudence, and he seeks, through his threats to Plaintiff Kaul, to usurp the authority of every other district judge in the United States.
- 21. The logical extension of such misconduct is that every district judge, for whatever reason, could issue nationwide injunctions in which they positioned themselves as the arbiters and 'gatekeepers' of the entire federal judiciary.
- 22. Defendant AGC is included as it permitted itself to become part of Defendant Oetken's bribery related quid pro quo scheme in mid 2024, when it failed, upon Plaintiff Kaul's ethics complaint, to investigate Defendant Oetken's violations and crimes of accepting bribes in return for illegally selling the power and authority of the United States District Court to The Kaul Cases Defendants (Exhibit 2).

- 23. Defendant AGC, in failing to investigate or otherwise refer Defendant Oetken to prosecutorial authorities did become complicit in his crimes, and pursuant to RICO is as liable for all of his past/present/future violations as if it had directly committed them.
- 24. Defendant NDMB is included as its 2020/2024 denials of Plaintiff Kaul's applications for medical licensure based on the 2014 illegal NJ revocation did cause and continue to cause injury to Plaintiff Kaul in the State of Dakota.
- 25. Other than the illegal injuries in Dakota, there exists a factual nexus between Defendant NDMB and Defendants Oetken/AGC in that had justice been properly served in K11-7, the illegal 2014 NJ revocation would have been reversed, the reversal of which would have caused the grant of Plaintiff Kaul's 2024 application to the Dakota Medical Board.
- 26. Had these events occurred, there would have commenced a relative remediation to the immense ongoing/"new" twelve-years-plus (2012-2024) of injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation.
- 27. But because Defendant Oetken continued and aided and abetted the knowingly illegal continuation of **The Kaul Cases** Defendants corruption through the United States District Court, and because Defendant AGC failed to investigate his crimes (Exhibit 4 + Exhibit 5) these Defendants are proximately and willfully liable for the ongoing/"new" injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation.

## DEFENDANT OETKEN'S CORRUPTION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT + CAUSE FOR HIS IMPEACHMENT

- **28.** The central issue in this case pertains to the blatant disrespect of a democratically appointed district judge in the S.D.N.Y. for the SCOTUS principles and holdings of the seminal case of <u>SEC v Jarkesy: 22-859</u> (June 27, 2024) and his willful failure to recognize that the application of this law to a case he had adjudicated on September 12, 2022, did render his opinion/order null and void, an opinion/order that he admitted were the product of a quid pro quo scheme of bribery with the defendants in that case.
- **29.** Defendant James Paul Oetken, did, in period commencing in or round August 2021 enter in to a racketeering conspiracy with the K11-7 Defendants, in which he participated in the conversion of his bench/court into a "racketeering enterprise" through which he and his defendant co-conspirators perpetrated a "pattern of racketeering" through his court of amongst other things, the commission of the RICO predicate acts of bribery/public corruption/wire fraud/obstruction of justice.
- **30.** The purpose of these RICO violations were to injunct Plaintiff Kaul from seeking justice/truth within the United States District Court as to all the facts preceding, surrounding and underpinning the illegal 2012-214 suspension/revocation of his NJ license, a revocation that has since 2012 deprived Plaintiff Kaul of his life/liberty/property/reputation and caused ongoing/"new" violations of his human/civil/constitutional rights.
- **31.** Defendant Oetken, in conjunction with Defendant AGC and his co-conspirators in the New York region has acted with a sense of impunity in the belief that his criminal conduct on the bench and its conversion into a "racketeering enterprise" would go un-exposed and unpunished.
- **32.** It was his sense of omnipotence that caused him to disregard and indeed violate his legal obligations as to the law set forth in <u>Jarkesy</u>, effectively viewing an historical ruling from the

U.S.C.SA. for the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit and SCOTUS as a ruling whose holdings and principals he, as a democratically appointed New York judge was obliged to ignore and or violate.

- **33.** Defendant Oetken sits in a court less than two (2) miles from Wall Street, the home of the SEC and the NYSE on which <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendant Allstate Insurance Company extracts billions from the American public. It is in this incestuous financial world milieu that Defendant Oetken operates his highly lucrative schemes, in which he sells his bench to the highest bidder in each case, even if those cases involve evicting persons from properties owned by his Wall Street bank co-conspirators.
- **34.** Defendant Oetken has for decades conducted these criminal schemes under the purported cover of judicial immunity and the protection of his political sponsors and Defendant AGC, the latter an agency tasked with investigating and policing corrupt lawyers, but an agency that has facilitated Defendant Oetken's long-standing "pattern of racketeering". Defendant Oetken has been able to 'stay under the radar' for so long consequent to the fact that no lawyer has been willing to expose his criminal activities, despite their obligation to do so.
- **35.** However, arguably the most reprehensible part of Defendant Oetken's delusion of omnipotence has been his interference in the affairs of district courts from the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Circuits, in which he has threatened Plaintiff Kaul with sanctions/contempt if he did not dismiss cases that district judges in those circuits admitted with full knowledge of Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022, purported 'injunction'.
- **36.** Defendant Oetken seeks to indirectly establish authority over every district judge in the United States District Court with threats against Plaintiff Kaul, and in fact these threats continue despite his knowledge that the SCOTUS ruling in <u>Jarkesy</u>, has for the legally substantiated reasons stated in K11-20, invalidated his September 12, 2022, purported 'injunction', but reasons/law he continues to violate, while siting on the federal bench.

- **37.** Defendant Oetken's crimes/violations evidence a contemptuous and complete disregard for the authority of every other district judge and court within the United States District Court, including the Justices of the U.S.C.A. for the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit and those of the Supreme Court of the United States.
- **38.** It is for these reasons and others that U.S.D.J. Oetken must be removed from the federal bench, his case referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee and he be ordered to cease and desist from any further interference in the affairs of other district courts and their judges.

### **DEPRIVATION OF ANY/ALL IMMUNITIES**

- 39. Defendant Oetken became deprived of any immunity the moment he commenced conspiring with the K11-7 Defendants in the conception, development, and perpetration of the quid pro quo schemes, as detailed in K11-17 (See hyperlink:

  <a href="https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1\_52b993378d4a4bcea95ba6057c94d392.pdf">https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1\_52b993378d4a4bcea95ba6057c94d392.pdf</a>)
- 40. Defendant Oetken has knowledge of the facts of K11-17. This is evidenced in Defendants Christie/Solomon/Heary's private exparte letter to him just after the K11-17 'ORDER FOR DISCOVERY PLAN' was issued, a letter not published to the K11-7 docket (See hyperlink: <a href="https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1\_5252b4bcfa4a4d5eb05d422034457549.p">https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1\_5252b4bcfa4a4d5eb05d422034457549.p</a> df) AND by his own admission in his March 15, 2024 'ORDER': "The Court has learned ..." " (See hyperlink:

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 94966611d7f746c89d2ba85b5e82cea5.pdf) ALTHOUGH Defendants Christie/Solomon/Heary's January 19, 2024, letter was privately addressed to Defendant Oetken, and not the Clerk of the Court nor any other judges within the "Court".

- **41.** Defendant Christie/Solomon/Heary's letter is not published to the SDNY docket, and neither their names nor the letter is referenced on the docket nor in Defendant Oetken's March 15, 2024 'ORDER', as just further evidence of Defendant Oetken's **"pattern"** of illegal exparte violations. Defendant Oetken's March 15, 2024 'ORDER' is arguably without the authority of the Court and constitutes a violation of U.S.C. Section 1018 and the Judiciary Act of 1789.
- **42.** Defendant Oetken, with knowledge of the K11-17 facts of his crimes at a time no later than January 19, 2024, has continued to fail to submit an affidavit into K11-17 denying the facts of the quid pro quo schemes/evidential falsification/perjury and other acts of public corruption.

His non-denial caused the admission of these facts, facts of felonies and felonies that deprive him of any immunity.

- 43. Defendant Oetken's guilt accounts for both his admission of fact and the failure of the K11-17 Defendants to cause the submission of affidavits from the New York State Ethics Committee, the Judicial Disciplinary Council, and any other judge within the SDNY, as to Defendant Oetken's refuting that Defendant Oetken engaged in criminal schemes with the K11-7 Defendants.
- 44. Defendant Oetken's guilt and his recognition of his guilt account for his March 15, 2024, effort to attempt to coerce Plaintiff Kaul under threat of contempt into dismissing K11-17, in order to attempt to suppress the inevitable March 13, 2024, related K11-17 DISCOVERY ORDER emergence of further evidence of his guilt, that would place him at risk of criminal indictment. Defendant Oetken's fraudulent March 15, 2024, order in K11-7 was entered on to the docket in K11-17 on March 18, 2024 (Exhibit 1).
- 45. As with Defendant Christie, Defendant Oetken's scheme in attempting to hatch plots to jail/kill/silence does nothing but further evidence his crimes, crimes for which, like Edward Manton (Exhibit 5), he lacks immunity.

### STATEMENT OF FACT + DEFENDANT OETKEN'S RULE 8(b)(6) **ADMISSIONS OF FACT**

- 46. Plaintiff Kaul respectfully asserts that a chronologically organized statement of fact will most effectively and with greatest clarity illustrate the perversion of justice committed by Defendant Oetken and his co-conspirators, many of whom are defendants in K11-20 actively obstructing/violating the authority of the U.S.D.C. for the S.D.T.
- 47. Pursuant to RICO's doctrine of vicarious liability each Defendant is as liable for the violations/offenses/injuries of every other Defendant as if they directly caused the violations/offenses/injuries. See Salinas v US: 522 US 52 (1997)

### <u>2003 – 2013:</u>

- 48. In late February/early March 2005, Plaintiff Kaul invented and successfully performed the first outpatient minimally invasive spinal fusion, the facts of which are detailed in K11-20. Consequent to this invention, Plaintiff Kaul earned immense professional and commercial success, which caused jealousy amongst his market competitors who unable to compete with him fairly did commence conspiring with the then NJ Governor and now Defendant Christie.
- 49. The conspiracy involved the perpetration of a series of quid pro quo schemes in which Plaintiff Kaul's competitors funneled bribes to Defendant Christie in return for having him abuse his state executive power to order The Kaul Cases Defendant, New Jersey Board of Medical Examiners to manufacture a knowingly false case to cause the illegal suspensionrevocation of Plaintiff Kaul's license in 2012/2013.
- 50. The Kaul Cases Defendants, of which the K11-20 Defendants are members, did seek not only to cause a license revocation, but sought to permanently eliminate Plaintiff Kaul by attacking every element of his life/liberty/property/reputation, such that his existence would

cease, and he would never expose their crimes. Their scheme failed and Plaintiff Kaul did, in late 2013 commence collecting evidence of the crimes/violations of The Kaul Cases Defendants.

### <u>2013 – 2016</u>:

- 51. Consequent to the revocation and the widespread malicious publicity surrounding the events, Plaintiff Kaul was forced into a state of poverty/homelessness and became obliged to file on June 17, 2013, for Chapter 11 bankruptcy for his four (4) healthcare related businesses.
- **52.** On July 21, 2014, the Chapter 11 proceeding was converted to a Chapter 7 liquidation consequent to final order of license revocation on March 24, 2014. The entire revocation proceedings, as detailed in K11-20 were the product of corruption and were corrupt.
- 53. The principal purpose of the corruption was to cause the elimination of the competitive threat posed by Plaintiff Kaul to his competitors in the minimally invasive spine surgery market, that included neurosurgeons/hospitals/insurance companies., all of whom illegally profited from the elimination of Plaintiff Kaul.
- 54. Having had his license revoked in 2014 and illegally deprived of his career (1983-2012), his entire estate and his reputation, Plaintiff Kaul, after much contemplation, decided not to leave the United States and his two (2) young children, but to stay, teach himself the law and seek to have exposed the truth of the crimes of **The Kaul Cases** Defendants. And so, on February 22, 2016, Plaintiff Kaul filed K1 in the Southern District of New York, from where it was on April 16, 2016, transferred to the District of New Jersey over Plaintiff Kaul's objections.

#### 2016-2022:

55. In this period, Plaintiff Kaul did, in a continued state of poverty, continue to file claims in various district courts based on the national injury caused to his medical career and inability to procure a license in any state except that of Pennsylvania, wherein his application was granted on May 27, 2021, but on condition he find a PA licensed physician willing to periodically

monitor his practice, a condition rendered impossible consequent to the malicious and widely publicized articles/stories propagated within the New York-New Jersey-Pennsylvania area.

- 56. As such and despite concerted efforts Plaintiff Kaul was unable to find such a person and his letters to the PA medical board seeking to have the board conduct the monitoring were ignored. From February 22, 2016, after having acquired sufficient knowledge of the law, Plaintiff Kaul filed multiple lawsuits in the United States District Court, most of which were transferred to the District of New Jersey, where they were stayed and or voluntarily dismissed, with the non-transferred cases being cases in which Plaintiff Kaul was not permitted to commence discovery.
- 57. In the period from 2016 to 2024, not one fact has been denied by any of the defendants, the courts (K5/K11-14/K11-15/K11-17/K11-20) in which discovery orders were issued, the Defendants did violate these orders and harass/intimidate/corrupt the judges into staying and or dismissing cases in which they had entered discovery orders.
- 58. On May 27, 2021, Plaintiff Kaul was kidnapped by a group of nine armed men at his place of residence/work in New Jersey, under orders from Defendant Christie, who had been served a Summons/Complaint in K11-2 at his law office in Morristown, NJ, and who mistakenly believed it would intimidate Plaintiff Kaul into ceasing his prosecution of The Kaul Cases. It did not and Plaintiff Kaul continued.
- 59. The kidnapping scheme failed and Plaintiff Kaul' person was abandoned at a hospital by two police officers who rapidly disappeared. The events were memorialized in an affidavit filed by Plaintiff Kaul on May 28 in K11-2. No person as of yet has been criminally prosecuted for this crime.
- 60. On August 19, 2021, Plaintiff Kaul filed K11-7. Summonses were issued and briefing was conducted up until February 2022. On September 12, 2022, Defendant Oetken issued an

opinion/order in which none of Plaintiff Kaul's arguments were analyzed and in which none of the highly incriminating evidence of the crimes of **The Kaul Cases** Defendants was either acknowledged, referenced and or critically incorporated into his knowingly fraudulent opinion.

- **61.** The reason that Defendant Oetken so blatantly abused the power of the United States District Court pertained to the quid pro quo scheme that he had, in collusion/conspiracy with The Kaul Cases Defendants perpetrated in the United States District Court, thus converting it into a "racketeering enterprise".
- 62. On September 12, 2022, Defendant Oetken entered a knowingly fraudulent purported 'injunction' onto the federal docket, that sought to permanently bar Plaintiff Kaul from seeking restitution for the criminally perpetrated immense and ongoing/"new" injuries to his life/liberty/property/reputation.
- 63. Defendant Oetken unilaterally set himself up as the nationwide arbiter of the decision of every other judge in the United States District Court as to whether or not they could exercise their independent judgment in accepting cases filed by Plaintiff Kaul, cases that were factually and legally distinct to K11-7.

#### 2023-2024:

- 64. K11-17 was filed on November 20, 2023, in the U.S.D.C. for the E.D.N.C. and enclosed principally with were all documents submitted by Defendant Oetken in relation to Plaintiff Kaul, and all documents submitted by Plaintiff Kaul in relation to Defendant Oetken in the period commencing on September 12, 2022.
- 65. On March 13, 2024, the E.D.N.C. subsequent to five (5) months-worth of briefing and knowledge of all documents pertaining to Defendant Oetken's violations/abuse of the power of the United States District Court, did enter an ORDER FOR DISCOVERY PLAN (K11-17: D.E. 65), an order that was violated by the K11-17 Defendants consequent to their prior "pattern" of

perpetrating a scheme of judicial corruption/harassment/intimidation purposed to have the case either stayed and or dismissed.

- 66. Regardless, on April 12, 2024, Plaintiff Kaul submitted a 'REPORT OF THE PARTIES PLANNING MEETING', in which he informed the district court of the Defendants violation of the March 13, 2024 'ORDER FOR DISCOVERY PLAN' and on April 18, 2024, submitted 'PLAINTIFF KAUL'S REQUEST FOR THE COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 201, OF DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO DEFENDANT FEDERATION OF STATE MEDICAL BOARDS' (K11-17: D.E. 94).
- 67. The latter document is irrefutable proof of the fact that state medical boards are not sovereign entities but are in fact subjugate elements of the for-profit entity of Defendant FSMB or as pled by Plaintiff Kaul within The Kaul Cases, the "Federation Cartel" ("FC").
- 68. This cartel, one that has taken decades to establish, illegally confers absolute antitrust power on the "FC" over, amongst other things, the entire system of so called 'physician regulation and disciplining from which it reaps billions in profit. The "FC" aggressively conducts quid pro quo schemes with hospital/insurance/pharmaceutical corporations, and uses its power to terminate physicians who either fail to support or oppose the corporate profiteering agendas of the "FC" hospital/insurance/pharmaceutical corporations quid pro quo conspirators.
- 69. It achieves these terminations (read executions) by disseminating orders to its subjugate state medical boards to revoke the targeted physicians' licenses and obstruct their efforts to have those licenses reinstated and or new license issued.
- 70. Thus, pursuant to RICO's doctrine of vicarious liability pursuant to Salinas, the acts/offenses/violations of one state medical board become the liability of all subjugate state medical boards of the "FC". "The crime of one becomes the crime of all"

- 71. And so, a violation of a physicians' human/civil/constitutional rights in one state causes him an injury in all states, which thus provides him standing to bring suit in any/all states.
- 72. The Kaul Cases represent an existential threat to the "FC", which accounts for the lengths to which it and The Kaul Cases Defendants have gone to attempt to cause the elimination of Plaintiff Kaul (kidnapping/false indictment/false arrest/false incarceration/attempted killing).
- 73. Similarly, it accounts for the fact of their corruption of certain members of the politicojudicial bodies to attempt to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from further exposing their decades-long corporate-profit driven crimes against the American public and medical profession.
- 74. Defendant Oetken is simply one of the more recent public servants to have gotten snared in their 'net of corruption', a 'net' into which he willingly entered in the belief the 'net' would restrict Plaintiff Kaul but never imagining that the net would entrap him and his coconspirators.
- 75. However, and arguably the 'spark' that lit the fundamental/illegal structure of the "FC" was respectively the May 18, 2022, and June 27, 2024, opinions/orders of the U.S.C.A. for the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit and SCOTUS in <u>Jarkesy</u>, which established, as pled in K11-20 (----) that the jury-less article III judge free NJ administrative April 2, 2012, suspension and March 24, 2014, revocation were/are illegal.
- 76. The Kaul Cases Defendants, and particularly the lawyer defendants, recognized these facts as some of many of the knowing illegality of their revocation scheme, but calculated Plaintiff Kaul would be eliminated, and Plaintiff Kaul would never expose the scheme.
- 77. But when Plaintiff Kaul commenced litigation on February 22, 2016, The Kaul Cases Defendants conviction of their impunity began to shudder and so they embarked on grand schemes of politico-judicial corruption, again convinced they would prevent the emergence of

further evidence of their crimes and of the ever-expanding conflagration of their criminal conspiracy.

- 78. However, recognizing in or about 2021 that simple quid pro quo bribery schemes were insufficient, they had Plaintiff Kaul kidnapped/arrested/jailed and realized that the dollar-value of the bribes had to be of sufficient value to have a district judge not only dismiss the case but enter a nationwide injunction purporting to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from seeking restitution for the past ongoing/"new" injuries to his life/liberty/property/reputation, without first obtaining the permission of such a judge willing to take such a risk of criminal conspiracy.
- 79. That judge was Defendant Oetken, a resident of the most expensive part of Manhattan (Chelsea) whose daily living expenses/lifestyle require more than a federal judge's salary does permit. Defendant Oetken sits on many cases involving financial corporations, and treats these cases as personal profit generators.
- 80. Defendant Oetken, recognizing the illegality of his 'injunction' scheme and of his having entered an un-exit-able criminal conspiracy, did quickly succumb to the K11-17 Defendants demand consequent to the March 23, 2024 'ORDER OF DISCOVERY' that he issue an 'order' threatening to hold Plaintiff Kaul in contempt if he did not dismiss K11-17 by March 29, 2024. The K11-17 Defendants threatened Defendant Oetken with exposing his crimes/professional ruin if he failed to comply with their demand.
- 81. Plaintiff Kaul sued Defendant Oetken in K11-18 on March 25, 2024, in the U.S.D.C. for the E.D.N.C. for all of the human/civil/constitutional rights violations pled in K11-23. Defendant Oetken's failure to deny the facts/allegations did, pursuant to Rule 8(b)(6) cause these facts to become admitted for all purposes.
- 82. The reason for Defendant Oetken's non-denial of the facts in K11-18 was his guilt of those allegations/facts, facts that he had admitted on October 6, 2022 (Exhibit 3) and then on August

- 14, 2023, when he belatedly and summarily denied Plaintiff Kaul's October 6, 2022, motion for his disqualification, without denying the facts substantiating that disqualification (Exhibit 4).
- 83. Defendant Oetken has denied none of the charges levied against him by Plaintiff Kaul in the period from September 14, 2022, to the present, including those on March 25, 2024, in K11-18.
- 84. Defendant Oetken is guilty of, amongst other things, of having abused the authority and power of the United States District Court to conduct a "pattern of racketeering" within the S.D.N.Y. and to attempt to then perpetrate the products of that fraud through every other district court in the United States District Court, by threatening Plaintiff Kaul with contempt if he fails to dismiss cases accepted and adopted by fully informed district judges in those courts.
- 85. On August 26, 2024, Plaintiff Kaul in filing K11-20 in the Southern District of Texas, did inform the court and district judges of all the circumstances/events/facts surrounding the September 12, 2022, purported 'injunction' and affairs associated with Defendant Oetken. Based on these representations and other facts of immense substance and relevance, the U.S.D.C. for the S.D.T did accept the case, issue summonses and an 'ORDER FOR CONFERENCE AND DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTED PARTIES' on August 30, 2024 (See hyperlink: https://www.drrichardkaul.com/\_files/ugd/7d05d1\_629a8061f69e4da08bf5c17e2677a40c.p <u>df</u>).
- 86. The K11-20 Defendants have violated and continue to violate this order while attempting to secretively scheme with Defendant Oetken to have Plaintiff Kaul's person physically restrained (See hyperlink:

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/\_files/ugd/7d05d1\_94966611d7f746c89d2ba85b5e82cea5.p df) in order to prevent him appearing for the scheduled November 4, 2024 'INITIAL PRETRIAL AND SCHEDULING CONFERENCE' (Exhibit 18). Plaintiff Kaul, in randomly checking the court dockets on October 3, 2024, came to know of the K11-20 Defendants clandestine scheme with Defendant Oetken, and then emailed Defendants a copy of his letter to the S.D.T. judicially

noticing it of Defendants letters to Defendant Oetken. This prompted the K11-20 Defendants to submit their secretively filed letters to Defendant Oetken with the S.D.T. just before Plaintiff Kaul filed his judicial notice (Exhibit 7) in order to attempt to cover-up their scheme to keep Plaintiff Kaul unaware of Defendant Oetken's October 2, 2024 'order' until he was arrested/jailed on October 17, 2024, thus keeping him from appearing at the Scheduling Conference on November 4, 2024 (Exhibit 18) (See hyperlink: https://www.drrichardkaul.com/files/ugd/7d05d1 f9e45bd12c994c78ae8b0368152e7895.p df) a move Defendants hoped/believed would cause the dismissal of K11-20.

- 87. Defendant Oetken's critical participation in the knowingly illegal September 12, 2022, purported 'injunction' scheme has severely compromised his ability to independently function as a judge within the United States District Court, and as such should be removed from the federal bench.
- 88. And it is Defendant Oetken's recognition of the desperate situation into which he caused his irreversible admission, that accounts for his second threat to attempt to hold Plaintiff Kaul in contempt for allegedly violating an order that he and the K11-20 Defendants know is illegal and the product of a massive bribery related 'Fraud on the Court'.
- 89. Further bolstering his knowledge of his guilt is the fact that in K11-18 his non-denial of the facts of his crimes did cause their admittance for all purposes pursuant to Rule 8(b)(6). Defendant Oetken would have been better advised to admit or deny the K11-18 facts and attempt to shift the quid pro quo liability onto The Kaul Cases Defendants.
- 90. Instead, not only have most of those Defendants admitted in K11-20 to facts of their guilt, but Defendant Oetken's Rule 8(b)(6) admission of the facts of his guilt in K11-18 was unqualified and with acceptance of complete liability, regardless of its procedural dismissal on April 8, 2024.

**91.** In fact, it is Defendant Oetken's K11-18 admittance of guilt, acceptance of complete liability and the lack of any defense, that establishes his guilt in K11-23 and an admittance of undisputed fact sufficient for Summary Judgment on all counts.

## **LEGAL CLAIMS**

#### 1. COUNT ONE

<u>Association-In-Fact Enterprise: U.S.D.C.-S.D.N.Y.-State of New York-NYSE ("USN- Association-In-Fact Enterprise")</u>

<u>Defendant Persons: Dakota Medical Board/New York State Attorney Grievance</u>

<u>Committee/James Paul Oetken</u>

Co-conspirators: TD Bank/Geico/Grewal

RICO Predicate Acts: Bribery/Wire Fraud/Obstruction of Justice/Public Corruption

#### Overview:

- 92. In a period commencing in or around August 2021, Defendant Oetken did enter a conspiracy with, amongst others, co-conspirators TD Bank/Geico/Grewal, the agreed purpose of which was to cause the knowingly illegal dismissal of K11-7 with prejudice and the entry of a purported nationwide 'injunction' that sought to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from filing any further complaints/petitions/documents in the United States District Court related to the "denial [not revocation] of his medical license" and or litigation proceedings initiated by either the K11-7 Defendants or Plaintiff Kaul "before" [not after] September 12, 2022.
- 93. Defendant Oetken's purported 'injunction' as admitted in K11-18 in a period from March 25 to April 8, 2024, was the product of a bribery related quid pro quo scheme in which Defendant Oetken did, in collusion/conspiracy with his co-conspirators convert the U.S.D.C. for the S.D.N.Y. into an "association-in-fact racketeering enterprise" through which he and his co-conspirators perpetrated a "pattern of racketeering" through the commission of the knowingly illegal RICO predicate acts of bribery/public corruption/obstruction of justice/wire fraud.

- 94. Defendant Oetken's guilt in K11-23 is consequent to his Rule 8(b)(6) admissions of fact as to his failure to deny the facts in K11-18 and or raise any defense, be it qualified/sovereign/absolute immunity and or claim sufficiency. Res judicata provides no defense to admitted facts regardless of the final case disposition, for the facts are an entity separate from any non-substantive procedural basis for a dismissal.
- 95. In K11-18, Defendant Oetken became aware of the Complaint on or about March 27, 2024, and could have voluntarily entered the case and denied the facts, but he did not, because he was/is guilty of the levied charges/facts.
- 96. In fact, he could even have sought permission from the U.S.C.A. for the 4th Circuit to enter the appeal, but he did not, as further evidence of his guilt, and of his attempt to corruptly manipulate the U.S.C.A. for the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit into affirming the dismissal of K11-18.
- 97. Defendant Oetken did not anticipate that Plaintiff Kaul would dismiss the K11-18 4th Circuit appeal and did neither anticipate the filing of K11-20 within the U.S.C.A. for the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit, the Circuit from which the seminal ruling in Jarkesy emerged, the principles of which rendered/render illegal the 2014 NJ revocation, all of its legal sequalae and every opinion/order that was based on and or incorporated the illegal 2014 jury-less article III judge free revocation, including the K11-7 September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction'.
- 98. These facts are known to Defendant Oetken, as he was provided a copy of the K11-20 Complaint by Defendants FSMB/Allstate (Exhibit 8) and knows these facts to be admitted consequent to his knowledge of the K11-20 Defendants Rule 8(b)(6) \failure to deny the facts, thus causing their admission for all purposes.
- 99. However, despite having admitted facts of his crimes in K11-18 and knowing of the K11-20 Defendants admission of facts of their crimes, and of his conflicted lack of authority in matters as to Plaintiff Kaul, he did, in knowing violation of civil/criminal law abuse the authority of the

United States District Court to attempt, in a knowingly illegal manner, threaten Plaintiff Kaul with contempt if he did not dismiss K11-20.

100. Defendants NDMB/AGC liability stems in part respectively from their 2024 denial of Plaintiff Kaul's license application to the NDMB (Exhibit 3) and Defendant AGC's complicity in their failure to investigate Defendant Oetken's bribery related quid pro quo scheme with The Kaul Cases Defendants.

101. Had Defendant AGC investigated Defendant Oetken's crimes and or referred the matter to prosecutorial authorities, the illegality of the 2014 NJ revocation would have been fully exposed as would have Defendant Oetken's illegal abuse of the power/authority of the United States District Court, the net result which would have been a 'turning of the clock' back to 9 am EST on April 2, 2012, and rectification/remediation/compensation of every injury to Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation.

102. However, of greater import would be a reformation of the state medical board system to bring it into compliance with the Seventh Amendment related ruling in Jarkesy, in which the SEC has been forced to comply, a compliance that constitutes precedent for the same reformation of American state medical boards.

103. The corrupt vigor with which The Kaul Cases Defendants have contested the claims (2016-2024) is partly explained by their realization of the illegality of what the crimes they committed against Plaintiff Kaul (2005-2024), and of the immense/detrimental material consequences to their profiteering and imposition of 'fines' against innocent, principally ethnic minority physicians.

104. In essence, The Kaul Cases represent an existential threat to the "Federation Cartel", its subjugate state medical boards and their obscene/illegal profiteering at the expense of human life.

### The Association-In-Fact Enterprise:

**105.** The pleading of an association-in-fact enterprise can be deduced from the pleading of the RICO predicate acts and the "pattern of racketeering". See <u>Boyle v United States</u>, 129 S.Ct 2237 (2009), but additionally and pursuant to the <u>Boyle</u>, the elements of pleading are as follows:

**106.** A purpose - the illegal bribery related dismissal with prejudice of K11-7 and the entry of a knowingly illegal nationwide 'injunction', the purpose of which was to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from further/fully exposing the long-standing crimes of **The Kaul Cases** Defendants, many of which resulted in the incarceration of principally ethnic minority physicians to whom the insurance industry owed money or who presented a competitive threat in the healthcare market.

107. A relationships among those associated with the enterprise — Defendant Oetken's relationship in the S.D.N.Y. with many of the NY-NJ political/Wall Street based Defendants and or individuals/entities associated with them extends back to his 2011 appointment to the federal bench. These corrupt relationships continued after the dismissal and did as a consequence of the dismissal and purported 'injunction' become even further fortified in their criminality. The quid pro quo dismissal purposed scheme committed in K11-7, and then in K11-17 (See hyperlink:

https://www.drrichardkaul.com/ files/ugd/7d05d1 474ecf356fb9449f87a93e4f0e0cd46f.pdf

AND the attempt in K11-20 evidence the ongoing nature of the association-in-fact enterprise

(108) Longevity sufficient to permit these associates to pursue the enterprise's purpose — the association-in-fact enterprises that preceded K11-7, that did continue in K11-7/K11-17 and now seeks to extend its racketeering effect into K11-20 do evidence a longevity that commenced in 2011. This longevity is ongoing in 2024 and will continue to operate unless Defendant Oetken is removed from the federal bench and held liable with his co-conspirators for the commission of crime within and 'under cover' of the United States District Court. The perils of permitting Defendant Oetken to continue to sit on the bench and issue knowingly

fraudulent opinions that become incorporated into the fabric of American jurisprudence is that they pervert/obstruct the natural course of justice in the United States, and violate Rules 144/455 as to his disqualification, a disqualification that he himself denied on August 14, 2023 (K11-7: D.E. 172).

109. As to the pleading requirements for an association-in-fact enterprise, the circuit splits on what exactly was required were clarified by the Supreme Court in Boyle:

110. "Such a group need not have a hierarchical structure or a chain of command; decisions may be made on an ad hoc basis and by any number of methods-by majority vote, consensus, a show of strength, etc. Members of the group need not have fixed roles; different members may perform different roles at different times. The group need not have a name, regular meetings, dues, established rules and regulations, disciplinary procedures, or induction or initiation ceremonies. While the group must function as a continuing unit and remain in existence long enough to pursue a course of conduct, nothing in RICO exempts an enterprise whose associates engage in spurts of activity punctuated by periods of quiescence. Nor is the statute limited to groups whose crimes are sophisticated, diverse, complex, or unique; for example, a group that does nothing but engage in extortion through old-fashioned, unsophisticated, and brutal means may fall squarely within the statute's reach."

111. And so, in applying the Boyle standard to the instant matter, the motive/method of the USN Enterprise related relationships between the below identified Defendants and the coconspirators is as follows: (i) Defendant Oetken – Defendant AGC; (ii) Defendant Oetken – Defendant NDMB; (iii) Defendant Oetken – Co-conspirator Grewal; (iv) Defendant Oetken – Co-conspirator TD; (v) Defendant Oetken – Co-conspirator Geico; (vi) Co-conspirator TD – Coconspirator Grewal; (vii) Co-conspirator TD – Co-conspirator Geico; (viii) Co-conspirator Geico - Co-conspirator Grewal; (ix) Co-conspirator NDMB - Co-conspirator Grewal; (x) Coconspirator NDMB – Co-conspirator Geico; (xi) Co-conspirator NDMB – Co-conspirator TD

- 112. Purpose The overarching purpose of the post-revocation USN enterprise/scheme was to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from invalidating the illegal 2014 NJ revocation and all of its legal and non-legal sequalae, and from exposing the long-standing criminal history of The Kaul Cases Defendants, in which they had through schemes of politico-judicial corruption converted state persons/agencies into "racketeering enterprises" through which they perpetrated "patterns of racketeering" through the commission of RICO predicate acts, many of which resulted in the death and or incarceration of innocent physicians to whom money was owed.
- 113 Relationships among those associated with the enterprise The fundamental and common element that relates the Defendants and the co-conspirators is the fact that all fall into one of two categories, those being state actors with state power and private profit purposed actors seeking state power to further their economic and or political agendas. However, their common purpose was to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from exposing their commission of crime (2005-2014) as to the illegal revocation. In the period from 2014 to 2024, the crimes pertained to various schemes of judicial corruption/kidnapping/false indictment/false arrest/false imprisonment/attempted killing, all purposed to attempt to cover up the revocation related crimes (2005-2014).
- 114. Defendant Oetken illegally profited from illegally selling the power of the United States District Court in a series of quid pro quo schemes with co-conspirators TD/Geico who having participated in the revocation conspiracy by destroying Plaintiff Kaul's economic standing, did bribe Defendant Oetken to dismiss K11-7 and issue an 'injunction' purporting to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from seeking legal redress for the injuries to his life/liberty/property/reputation.
- 115. Co-conspirators TD/Geico were motivated to cause a corruption of Defendant Oetken in an attempt to provide cover for its revocation related crimes, in the knowledge that a public exposure of the crimes would injure their standing on the NYSE, their profits and subject their corporate officers to civil/criminal prosecution.

116. Defendant Oetken illegally benefitted from perverting the power of the United States District Court in a series of quid pro quo dismissal/purported 'injunction' schemes with coconspirator Grewal/others, a purpose of which was to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from procuring further proof of the illegality of The Kaul Cases Defendant, New Jersey Board of Medical Examiners ("NJBME") 2014 revocation, a revocation the judicial-evidential confirmation of which would cause a nullification/reversal/compensation of the illegal revocation and every legal and non-legal injury subsequently caused to Plaintiff Kaul's life/liberty/property/reputation (2012-2024), in conjunction with the civil/criminal holding of The Kaul Cases Defendants.

117. Defendant NDMB, benefitted from Defendant Oetken's illegal dismissal and purported 'injunction' in that being a subjugate member of the "Federation Cartel" it is as liable for the crimes of one member of the cartel, namely The Kaul Cases Defendant, NJBME, as if it had directly committed the crimes. Additionally, co-conspirator, NDMB, benefitted from having Defendant Oetken dismiss K11-7, in that the dismissal was an effective validation, albeit false, of the illegal 2014 NJ revocation, a position that Defendant NDMB used in a knowingly illegal manner to deny Plaintiff Kaul's licensure applications in 2020/2024, thus re-affirming its subjugation/felty to the "Federation Cartel", from which its membership causes profit to its executives.

(118) Longevity sufficient to permit these associates to pursue the enterprise's purpose — The purpose of the USN enterprise is to maintain its highly lucrative monopoly power over the entire system of so called 'physician regulation and discipline', the very existence of which has been and is challenged by The Kaul Cases, including K11-20. This system was in existence prior to the 2005 commencement of the revocation conspiracy and was further fortified in or around 2008 by the eponymous 'Healthcare Fraud Prevention Partnership', a concoction of the insurance industry purposed to further subjugate the medical profession in order to increase shareholder/executive compensation of the insurance/hospital/pharmaceutical corporations through the exploitation and at the expense of the medical profession. The perpetration of this

scheme required a coopting of certain judges/courts to nullify/dismiss/injunct any physicians that challenged the illegal monopoly of the healthcare market by these exploitative for-profit corporations, who were fundamentally/illegally practicing medicine without a license, a condition that existed/exists consequent to their corrupt capture of the regulatory/judicial apparatus of state. All of these factors of consolidation of power have caused a longevity of existence/function such that their knowingly illegal antitrust conspiracies have continued without challenge, until **The Kaul Cases**, to the immense detriment of the public and medical profession.

#### **Bribery**:

- 119. K11-7 was filed on August 19, 2021, and shortly thereafter the K11-7 Defendants commenced communicating across the US wires and in face-to-face meetings as to the perpetration of a knowingly illegal quid pro quo bribery scheme with Defendant Oetken purposed to have him dismiss K11-7 with prejudice and issue a nationwide 'injunction' that would prevent Plaintiff Kaul from seeking redress for the crimes/violations committed against him (2005 onwards) by The Kaul Cases Defendants without Defendant Oetken's permission.
- 120. The conflicted absurdity of this proposition, that Plaintiff Kaul required the permission of a person at the center of the bribery related quid pro quo scheme, accounts in large part for the invalidity of the purported 'injunction' and the decision of Chief Judge Richard E. Meyers to admit the case into the jurisdiction of the E.D.N.C. with full knowledge of all matters related to Defendant Oetken's misconduct in K11-7.
- 121. It would be akin, and Plaintiff Kaul asserts this analogy with the greatest humility, to Nelson Mandela seeking permission from the 1988 apartheid South African President, P.W. Botha, to conduct the affairs of the African National Congress in the dismantling of the brutality/injustice of apartheid.

- 122. In the period from August to December 2021, the K11-7 Defendants had developed and agreed upon a scheme whereby to attempt to create cover the bribes would be transmitted by third party actors to law firms/public relations firms/political lobbyists and specifically to persons/politicians/lawyers with personal and or professional connections to Defendant Oetken, be those connections direct or indirect. In this manner the K11-7 Defendants hoped to create an opaqueness to their knowingly illegal scheme.
- 123. The bribes would originate from the Defendants bank accounts/other investment vehicles (off-shore and domestic) and would be materialized in Defendant Oetken's possession in a multitude of 'gifts/cash deposits in accounts of persons associated with him to third degree/payment of living expenses-mortgage-rent/travel expenses and other easily concealed hard assets, as was exposed in the criminal conviction of US Senator, Robert Menendez.
- 124. The bribes were paid in installments linked to the progress of K11-7, with the final bribe being transmitted in or around December 2022, when it became apparent Plaintiff Kaul had not appealed the corrupt order in the same court building of the circuit in which Defendant Oetken sat.
- 125. Defendant Oetken's chambers/courtroom are at 40 Foley Square, NY, NY, the location of the U.S.C.A. for the Second Circuit, and an appeals circuit on which Defendant Oetken had intermittently sat.
- 126. In a period from September 12, 2022, onwards, it became apparent to Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants that the bribery related scheme had failed, and the K11-17 Defendants had become the K11-20 Defendants.
- 127. And specifically in the period from June 27 to October 2024, there emerged new facts/new law that rendered illegal the 2014 NJ revocation and all of its legal/non-legal sequalae, including Defendant Oetken's September 12, 2022, purported 'injunction'.

- **129.** Defendant Oetken knew that <u>Jarkesy</u> rendered illegal the 2014 jury-less article III judge free revocation and all its legal/non-legal sequalae, but Defendant Oetken, in a knowingly blatant violation of the law, did enter his October 2, 2024, purported 'order' onto the K11-7 docket. And he did so in part because having entered the criminal conspiracy in 2022, the K11-7/K11-17/K11-20 Defendants threatened him with exposure if he failed to comply with their order.
- 130. And Defendant Oetken recognized and recognizes that such exposure would result in civil/criminal investigations and a re-opening/examination of all his prior cases, for <u>corrupt</u> judges who have sat on the bench for ten (10) years without permanent appellate elevation, develop a sense of frustration, omnipotence and entitlement to what they consider the 'spoils of war'. They lose sight of the immense/immeasurable civic value that they provide through their measured and impartial adjudication, and of the fact that their decisions form the bedrock of American jurisprudence, without which the appellate courts could not function.

#### Wire Fraud:

- **131.** In a time period commencing in or around February 2022, Defendant Oetken/agents commenced using the US wires to transmit information to the K11-7 Defendants/agents regarding the perpetration of the knowingly illegal quid pro quo bribery scheme.
- **132.** From February 2022 onwards, up to and including October 2024, Defendant Oetken/agents did continue to use the US wires to exchange information/facts as to the failure of the scheme in causing Plaintiff Kaul to crease his prosecution of <u>The Kaul Cases</u>.

- 133. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants used the US wires in a knowingly illegal manner on thousands of occasions in the period commencing in February 2022 and continuing into October 2024.
- 134. In these communications, Defendant Oetken did, after having received the entirety of his bribes, express a reluctance to continue to use the authority of the United States District Court to continue perpetrating the scheme.
- 135. Defendant Oetken's reluctance stemmed from the fact that the prosecutorial authorities in the S.D.N.Y. had brought criminal indictments against a sitting US Senator (Robert Menendez) and NYS Mayor (Eric Adams) based on charges of public corruption and specifically engaging in bribery related quid pro quo schemes in which they sold the power of state in exchange for money/gifts/other tangible favors.
- 136. Defendant Oetken, now in a more desperate condition, having recognized that Plaintiff Kaul had exposed his criminal scheme in K11-18 and the ongoing criminal prosecutions of Menendez/Adams became less cautious in his communications with **The Kaul Cases** Defendants.
- 137. Defendant Oetken communicated that he was concerned that his corrupt activities on the bench would be submitted to the Office of the US Attorney for the S.D.N.Y.
- 138. Defendant Oetken used the US wires to communicate his fear that if the prosecutorial authorities subpoenaed his records, he would be charged with the same offenses levied against Menendez/Adams.
- 139. In the continued perpetration of the scheme and its attempted cover up, there were direct communications across the US wires between Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants in which Defendant Oetken was threatened with exposure if he failed to follow the orders of **The Kaul Cases** Defendants.

- **140.** In some of these communications, **The Kaul Cases** Defendants expressed immense fear as to the consequences to their lives of Plaintiff Kaul's continued prosecution of The Kaul Cases.
- 141. The Kaul Cases Defendants and most recently the K11-20 Defendants, did communicate their grave concerns that if they were deposed by Plaintiff Kaul, further evidence of their crimes would emerge.
- **142.** The K11-20 Defendants, now in a similarly more desperate condition, abandoned all caution as to attempting to 'cover their tracks' and plainly stated that if Plaintiff Kaul were not stopped, they feared they would be indicted, as were Menendez/Adams.
- 143. The K11-20 Defendants did also use the US wires to transmit that if Plaintiff Kaul's prosecution were successful, officers for the corporate Defendants (Allstate/FSMB) would be held criminally liable.
- 144. The K11-20 Defendants did use the wires to communicate to each other possible alternative plans to eliminate Plaintiff Kaul if Defendant Oetken did not cooperate.
- 145. The K11-20 Defendants, in communicating these alternative Plaintiff Kaul elimination plans to Defendant Oetken, did indirectly threaten Defendant Oetken's life and the lives of those related to him to the third degree.
- 146. In these email communications to both Defendant Oetken's private and official emails, and the emails of his agents, the K11-20 Defendants communicated that if Defendant Oetken did not follow their orders, they would expose other highly incriminating evidence of his prior corrupt acts on the bench and of his homosexual personal life prior to and during his marriage.
- 147. Defendant Oetken, recognizing that his choice was to either comply with the K11-20 Defendants orders or accept the end of his judicial career and possible impeachment/indictment, did comply and use the US wires to issue a knowingly illegal

purported order on October 2, 2024, once threatening Plaintiff Kaul with contempt if he did not dismiss K11-20.

#### **Obstruction of Justice:**

- **148.** Defendant Oetken, a lawyer and a jurist knew that when he entered the bribery related quid pro quo scheme with the K11-7 Defendants in or around February 2022, his acts did and would constitute an obstruction of justice.
- 149. Defendant Oetken knew that some elements of the crime of obstruction of justice to include the elements of there being an ongoing legal proceeding/an awareness of the ongoing legal proceeding/a criminal intent to interfere with the legal proceeding for criminal reasons/a destruction of evidence (emails/texts/hand written notes)/a interference with witnesses (third parties) to cause a suppression of their testimony.
- **150.** Defendant Oetken knew these elements and others because he has adjudicated many cases since 2011 involving obstruction of justice charges, charges/convictions for which has sentenced the perpetrators to jail.
- 151. Defendant Oetken knows the law and knows that he must obey the law.
- **152.** Defendants Oetken swore oaths as a lawyer and as a jurist to uphold the Constitution and the law.
- **153.** Defendant Oetken knew that in the perpetration of the bribery related quid pro quo scheme he violated not only his oaths, but committed felonies against which he has no immunity.
- **154.** Defendant Oetken, while recognizing the immense risk of continuing to aid/abet the bribery related qui po quo scheme, did resign himself to the fact that he really had no choice.

- 155. Defendant Oetken's recognition of his desperate situation has made it difficult for him to concentrate on cases before him.
- 156. Defendant Oetken regrets having entered into conspiracy with The Kaul Cases Defendants, but accepts that eventually he will be subjected to a form of discipline and or punishment for having violated the Constitution/ the law/his solemn oaths/Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights.
- 157. In fact, Defendant Oetken's desperation was evidenced when he/agents used the US wires to communicate with persons/entities invested in the company (www.wix.com) from which Plaintiff Kaul issued a release on June 10, 2024, regarding K11-18 and Defendant Oetken's corrupt activities in the S.D.N.Y., to have Plaintiff Kaul's account deactivated.
- 158. Defendant Oetken, in issuing a knowingly illegal 'order' on October 2, 2024, did recognize that he was conflicted and without authority to issue such a document.
- 159. On October 3, 2024, Plaintiff Kaul submitted a letter in response to Defendant Oetken's October 2, 2024 'order' (Exhibit 9), which identifies the factual/legal bases as to the invalidity of the 'order'.

#### 2. COUNT TWO

# **DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW DEFENDANTS: OETKEN/NDMB/AGC**

160. In 2005, Plaintiff Kaul invented and successfully performed the first outpatient minimally invasive spinal fusion, a case/technique that revolutionized the field of spine surgery and has been for many years the standard of care.

- 161. This event and the consequent success caused Plaintiff Kaul's physician/hospitals/insurance competitors in the minimally invasive spine surgery market to view him, his outpatient surgical center, his technique, and his technique invention as a threat to their market share, and not being able to compete fairly/legally, did resort to committing judicial corruption/political corruption/public corruption/
  bribery/perjury/evidential falsification/witness tampering/obstruction of justice/kickbacks/wire fraud/mail fraud/false indictments/false arrests/false imprisonment/kidnapping/attempted drugging-killing.
- **162.** These events occurred over a time period from 2005 to 2023, in conjunction with ongoing/accruing and daily recurring and "new" violations of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights.
- **163.** In causing the 2012/2014 illegal suspension/revocation of Plaintiff Kaul's NJ license, <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants committed a theft of Plaintiff Kaul's intellectual property, from which have been generated/continue to be generated hundreds of millions, if not billions of dollars.
- **Cases** substantiate ongoing violations to a criminal standard of Plaintiff Kaul's fundamental right to life/liberty/property, his right to his hard-earned reputation and specifically, violations of the following rights:

# Violation of Civil Rights Section 1983 claim

165. In a period from September 12, 2022, to the present, Defendant Oetken did abuse state power in attempting to and in fact achieving in K11-10/K11-14 a knowing/willful/continuing violation and deprivation of Plaintiff Kaul's <u>First Amendment Right</u> of the United States Constitution, <u>CONSEQUENT TO</u> the illegal purported 'injunction' violating Plaintiff Kaul's right to litigate his claims/vindicate his rights in the United States District Court.

**166.** In a period from September 12, 2022, to the present, Defendant Oetken did abuse state power in attempting to and in fact achieving in K11-10/K11-14 a knowing/willful/continuing violation and deprivation of Plaintiff Kaul's <u>Second Amendment Right</u> of the United States Constitution, <u>CONSEQUENT TO</u> the illegal purported 'injunction' violating Plaintiff Kaul's right to litigate his claims/vindicate his rights in the United States District Court.

- **167.** In a period from September 12, 2022, to the present, Defendant Oetken did abuse state power in attempting to and in fact achieving in K11-10/K11-14 a knowing/willful/continuing violation and deprivation of Plaintiff Kaul's <u>Fourth Amendment Right</u> of the United States Constitution, <u>CONSEQUENT TO</u> the illegal purported 'injunction' violating Plaintiff Kaul's right to litigate his claims/vindicate his rights in the United States District Court.
- **168.** In a period from September 12, 2022, to the present, Defendant Oetken did abuse state power in attempting to and in fact achieving in K11-10/K11-14 a knowing/willful/continuing violation and deprivation of Plaintiff Kaul's <u>Fifth Amendment Right</u> of the United States Constitution, <u>CONSEQUENT TO</u> the illegal purported 'injunction' violating Plaintiff Kaul's right to litigate his claims/vindicate his rights in the United States District Court.
- **169.** In a period from September 12, 2022, to the present, Defendant Oetken did abuse state power in attempting to and in fact achieving in K11-10/K11-14 a knowing/willful/continuing violation and deprivation of Plaintiff Kaul's <u>Sixth Amendment Right</u> of the United States Constitution, <u>CONSEQUENT TO</u> the illegal purported 'injunction' violating Plaintiff Kaul's right to litigate his claims/vindicate his rights in the United States District Court.
- **170.** In a period from September 12, 2022, to the present, Defendant Oetken did abuse state power in attempting to and in fact achieving in K11-10/K11-14 a knowing/willful/continuing violation and deprivation of Plaintiff Kaul's <u>Eighth Amendment Right</u> of the United States Constitution, <u>CONSEQUENT TO</u> the illegal purported 'injunction' violating Plaintiff Kaul's right to litigate his claims/vindicate his rights in the United States District Court.

- 171. In a period from September 12, 2022, to the present, Defendant Oetken did abuse state power in attempting to and in fact achieving in K11-10/K11-14 a knowing/willful/continuing violation and deprivation of Plaintiff Kaul's Fourteenth Amendment Right of the United States Constitution, **CONSEQUENT TO** the illegal purported 'injunction' violating Plaintiff Kaul's right to litigate his claims/vindicate his rights in the United States District Court.
- 172. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of his livelihood by preventing its rectification through the judicial process.
- 173. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of all his business real estate by preventing its rectification through the judicial process.
- 174. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of all his personal real estate by preventing its rectification through the judicial process.
- 175. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of all his life earnings by preventing its rectification through the judicial process.
- 176. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of all his pensions by preventing its rectification through the judicial process.
- 177. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of all his <u>financial investments</u> by preventing its rectification through the judicial process.

- 178. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of all his professional licenses by preventing its rectification through the judicial process.
- 179. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of the property of all his accounts receivable BY preventing its rectification through the judicial process.
- 180. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of his right to due process BY preventing his access to discovery and substantive litigation process.
- 181. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of his right to free speech BY preventing his access to discovery and substantive litigation process.
- 182. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/attempt to continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of his right to impartial tribunals/judges/courts BY continuing to perpetrate through certain courts within the United States District Court the corruptly procured K11-17 'Fraud on the Court' 'injunction', which has prevented Plaintiff Kaul's access to discovery and substantive litigation process.
- 183. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/attempt to continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of his right to substantively prosecute his claims BY continuing to perpetrate through certain courts within the United States District Court the corruptly procured K11-17 'Fraud on the Court' 'injunction', which has prevented Plaintiff Kaul's access to discovery and substantive litigation process.

- **184.** These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/attempt to continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of his right to <u>equal protection</u> under the law <u>BY</u> continuing to perpetrate through certain courts within the United States District Court the corruptly procured K11-17 'Fraud on the Court' 'injunction', which has prevented Plaintiff Kaul's access to discovery and substantive litigation process.
- 185. These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/attempt to continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of his right to liberty BY continuing to perpetrate through certain courts within the United States District Court the corruptly procured K11-17 'Fraud on the Court' 'injunction', which has prevented Plaintiff Kaul's access to discovery and substantive litigation process.
- **186.** These deprivations/violations willfully/maliciously caused by Defendant Oetken did illegally deprive/attempt to continue to deprive Plaintiff Kaul of his right to be compensated for the illegal deprivation of the property of eleven (11) years of his life **BY** continuing to perpetrate through certain courts within the United States District Court the corruptly procured K11-17 'Fraud on the Court' 'injunction', which has prevented Plaintiff Kaul's access to discovery and substantive litigation process.
- **187.** These deprivations/violations/injuries were willfully/maliciously perpetrated by Defendant Oetken within/through/with the assistance of the executive/judicial apparatus of the <u>American State</u>.
- **188.** These deprivations/violations/injuries were willfully/maliciously perpetrated by Defendant Oetken within/through/with the assistance of the <u>United States District Court</u>.
- **189.** These deprivations/violations/injuries were willfully/maliciously perpetrated by Defendant Oetken in collusion/conspiracy with private actors associated with the <a href="New York Stock">New York Stock</a>
  Exchange.

- 190. The commercial/communications nexus between Defendant Oetken and private actors within The Kaul Cases, critical to the perpetration of the within pled schemes conferred 'state actor' liability on all private actors within The Kaul Cases as to the deprivations/violations/injuries caused to Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights.
- 191. The commercial/communications nexus between Defendant Oetken and private actors within The Kaul Cases, critical to the perpetration of the within pled schemes conferred 'state actor' liability on all private actors within The Kaul Cases as to the deprivations/violations/injuries caused to Plaintiff Kaul's property rights.
- 192. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants were and are motivated to commit and continue to commit these deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional/property rights.
- **193.** The motivation is based on Defendant Oetken and **The Kaul Cases** Defendants scheme to prevent Plaintiff Kaul from exposing their crimes, including those of defrauding the global equities market.

# **UN Human Rights Violation** The United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights

**194.** Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 1 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood."

- 195. The Article 1 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 196. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 2 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Plaintiff Kaul is a citizen of India: "Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional, or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty." 197. The Article 2 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 198. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 3 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person."
- 199. The Article 3 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 200. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 4 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms."
- 201. The Article 4 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.

203. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 5 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment."

- 204. The Article 5 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 205. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 6 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law."
- 206. The Article 6 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 207. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 7 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination."
- 208. The Article 7 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 209. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 8 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law."

- 210. The Article 8 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- **211.** Defendant Oetken and <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 9 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile."
- 212. The Article 9 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 213. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 10 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him."
- 214. The Article 10 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 215. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 12 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home, or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honor and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks."
- 216. The Article 12 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 217. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 17 of the United Nations Universal

Declaration of Human Rights: "1. Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others. 2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property."

- **218.** The <u>Article 17</u> violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 219. Defendant Oetken and <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to <u>Article 19</u> of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers."
- **220.** The <u>Article 19</u> violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- **221.** Defendant Oetken and <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to <u>Article 23</u> of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favorable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment."
- **222.** The <u>Article 23</u> violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 223. Defendant Oetken and <u>The Kaul Cases</u> Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to <u>Article 23</u> of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control."

- 224. The Article 23 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 225. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 28 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized."
- 226. The Article 28 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.
- 227. Defendant Oetken and The Kaul Cases Defendants-Co-Conspirators did knowingly and maliciously violate Plaintiff Kaul's rights pursuant to Article 30 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: "Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein."
- 228. The Article 30 violation caused and continues to cause deprivations/violations/injuries to Plaintiff Kaul's human/constitutional/property rights.

## **DECLARATIONS AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

- 1. The legal record in <u>The Kaul Cases</u> has established that the K11-7 September 22, 2022, purported 'injunction' issued by U.S.D.J. Oetken in K11-7 is an admitted 'Fraud on the Court'.
- 2. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas-Houston Division did nullify the purported 'injunction' within its jurisdiction, when it admitted the case on August 26/30, 2024.
- **3.** The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas-Houston Division did nullify the purported 'injunction' within its jurisdiction when it issued its March 13, 2024, ORDER FOR DISCOVERY PLAN (Exhibit 5).
- **3.** The nullification of the purported 'injunction' within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas-Houston Division, has rendered the purported 'injunction' a legal nullity within the United States District Court without legal effect or existence.
- **4.** Therefore, the nullity and legal non-existence of the purported 'injunction' quite logically means that Plaintiff Kaul could not have violated any purported 'injunction within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas-Houston Division, and thus its legal non-existence means that Defendant Oetken's proposed June 17, 2025 purported 'contempt' hearing is legally non-existent and null/void.
- **5.** Therefore, the nullity and legal non-existence of the purported 'injunction' quite logically means that Plaintiff Kaul's continued prosecution of <u>The Kaul Cases</u> within any district court in the United States District Court does not, nor could not, violate any non-existent injunction.

- **6.** Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights and the controlling doctrinal law of 'Fraud on the Court' strictly prohibit Defendant Oetken from using the instrumentality of his purported 'injunction' in any manner to infringe on Plaintiff Kaul's human, civil and or constitutional rights to vindicate and or secure his right to his life/liberty/property/reputation.
- 7. Defendant Oetken is prohibited from attempting to use the purported 'injunction', an admitted 'Fraud on the Court, to cause injury or infringe on Plaintiff Kaul's person and or violate his human/civil/constitutional rights within the jurisdiction of the United States.
- **8.** Defendant Oetken is ordered to cease and desist from any interference in the judicial process of the United States District Court for the District of North Dakota.
- **9.** Defendant Oetken's published October 2, 2024 'ORDER' in K11-20, a product of the K11-7 September 12, 2022 'Fraud on the Court' purported injunction is itself a 'Fraud on the Court', and is thus null/void for all intents/purposes.
- **10.** Defendant Oetken's nullified 'Fraud on the Court' October 2, 2024 'ORDER' that was generated and filed in K11-7 by Defendant Oetken, and then filed in K11-20 by the K11-20 Defendants on October 3, 2024 (**Exhibit 10**) constitutes a flagrant violation of Plaintiff Kaul's human/civil/constitutional rights to vindicate and or secure his right to his life/liberty/property/reputation.
- **11.** Defendant Oetken is ordered to strike from the K11-7 docket the illegally generated and nullified 'Fraud on the Court' October 2, 2024 'ORDER' and all documents related to the September 12, 2022 purported 'injunction'.

I swear under penalty of perjury that the above statements are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and that if it is proved that I willfully and knowingly misrepresented the facts, then I will be subject to punishment.

**DATED: JUNE 13, 2025** 

RICHARD ARJUN KAUL, ME